

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**FIR No.12/18**

**PS : Khyala**

**State Vs. Jaspreet Singh @ Micky & Ors.**

**U/s. 302 IPC**

28.05.2020

File taken up on the interim bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Jaspreet Singh.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. Vikas Chadha, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply filed on behalf of IO.

Ld. Counsel for applicant submits that he has filed present bail application as per criteria mentioned in minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court dated 18.05.2020 and Ld. Counsel has also argued that no other case is pending against applicant/accused and applicant/accused is in judicial custody since more than 28 months. Hence, Ld. Counsel further requests to transfer this application to the designated court to deal with bail application as per the directions of HPC of Hon'ble High Court.


Contd...



Since to deal with the bail application as per the minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court, the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi has been designated for the same.

Let this bail application be put up before the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi for today itself i.e. 28.05.2020.

Ahlmad is directed to send the bail application immediately to the concerned court through proper channel.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**Bail Appl. No. 1120/20  
FIR No. 525/20  
PS : Paschim Vihar West  
State Vs. Rajender Joshi  
U/s 308/411/34 IPC**

28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant


Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
Sh. Vipin Bhaskar, Ld Counsel for the  
applicant/accused.  
IO ASI Mahavir Singh.

Reply to the application filed by the IO.

I have heard arguments from both the sides and perused the reply.

It is argued on behalf of applicant that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further argued that no weapon of offence has been recovered.

Per contra, Ld. State Counsel has argued that applicant is absconding and is evading his arrest. The allegations against him are serious in nature. It is also argued that the entire incident has been captured in CCTV camera installed at the place of incident. Therefore, it is

  
\_\_\_\_\_

prayed that he may not be granted anticipatory bail. It is further argued that case is at the initial stage of investigation and co-accused, weapon of offence is yet to be recovered.

I have considered rival arguments as well as the written submissions filed on behalf of applicant.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant/accused at this stage. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti.



**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**FIR No.258/13**

**PS : Nangloi**

**State Vs. Umed Singh and Ors.**

**U/s. 302/304B/120/498A/34 IPC**

28.05.2020


File taken up on the interim bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Raj Kumar.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. Rajender Prasad and Sh. Akashdeep Malik, Ld. Counsel  
for applicant/accused.  
IO/SI Amit in person.

IO filed reply.

Ld. Counsel for applicant submits that he has filed present bail application as per criteria mentioned in minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court dated 18.05.2020 and Ld. Counsel has also argued that no other case is pending against applicant/accused and in this regard he has also annexed affidavit. Hence, Ld. Counsel further requests to transfer this application to the designated court to deal with bail application as per the directions of HPC of Hon'ble High Court.

Contd...



3



-2-

Since to deal with the bail application as per the minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court, the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi has been designated for the same.

Let this bail application be put up before the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi for 29.05.2020.



**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**

3



**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**FIR No. 318/18**

**PS : Patel Nagar**

**State Vs. Jitender Singh Jeena @ Jitu**

**U/s 186/353/307/147/148/149/34 IPC & 27 Arms Act**

28.05.2020


This is the application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of interim bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
Sh. Prashant Yadav, Ld Counsel for the  
applicant/accused.  
IO SI Satyavir Singh.

The present applicant was to be heard through video conferencing, however, Ld. Counsel has appeared in the court and has requested for hearing in the court. Therefore, same is heard in the court.

Reply to the application filed.

By way of this application, the applicant is seeking interim bail of 15 days on the ground that applicant's father is advised bed rest and his mother is suffering from diabetes. Due to this pandemic situation, his parents are facing severe difficulty because of their old aged ailments and there is no one to look after them except the applicant who is lying in jail. It is, therefore, prayed that on humanitarian ground, applicant may be granted interim bail.




Per contra, Ld. State Counsel has argued that as per the IO, applicant is involved in three other cases bearing FIR No. 186/16, 183/18 and 247/18. It is also argued that the allegations against the applicant are serious in nature. Therefore, it is prayed that applicant may not be granted interim bail.

I have considered rival arguments.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, no ground is made out for grant of interim bail to the applicants/accused. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

  
**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**



**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**FIR No. 108/2009  
PS : Mianwali Nagar  
State Vs. Pramjeet Dalal @ Monnu  
U/s 302/34 IPC & 25/27 Arms Act**

28.05.2020


This is the application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of interim bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
Sh. Jitender Sethi and Sh. Hemant Gulati, Ld  
Counsels for the applicant/accused.  
Sh. Jitender Yadav, Ld. Counsel for complainant.

I have heard arguments from both the sides and perused the record.

It is argued on behalf of applicant that he is seeking interim bail on the ground that his mother is ill whose surgery for removal of kidney stone is scheduled for 01.06.2020. It is further argued that there is no one to look after his mother. It is also argued that in other two cases FIR No. , applicant has been enlarged on interim bail. It is, therefore, prayed that on humanitarian ground he may be granted interim bail.

Per contra, Ld. State Counsel has argued that



-2-

applicant is involved in many cases and has even jumped the bail earlier. The allegations against him are serious in nature. Therefore, it is prayed that he may not be granted interim bail.

I have considered rival arguments.

Though medical record of the mother of applicant has been verified, however, as per reply of the IO, applicant has two brothers to look after his mother.

Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, no ground is made out for grant of interim bail to the applicant/accused. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti.



**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

FIR No. 1375/14  
PS : Rajouri Garden  
State Vs. Pooja @ Rakhi Kapoor  
U/s 302/201 IPC

28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of bail  
moved on behalf of accused/applicant

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
Sh. R.D. Rana, Ld Counsel for the  
applicant/accused.

Conduct report of the applicant has been received  
on record.

At request of Ld. Counsel for applicant, put up on  
30.05.2020.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

*Filed because of Covid-19*

*Conde  
Repr  
J  
Poo*

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**FIR No. 108/2019  
PS : Rajouri Garden  
State Vs. Prince Dixit @ Vikram @ Ors.  
U/s 302/201/380/411/120B/34 IPC**

28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of interim bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant Raghvender Singh @ Rinku.


Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
Sh. S.K. Tiwari, Ld Counsel for the  
applicant/accused.

Reply to the application filed. Copy supplied to the State.

I have heard arguments from both the sides and perused the record.

By way of this application, the applicant is seeking interim bail on the ground that the wife of applicant is suffering from diseases and she is not being taken care by the son of the applicant. The applicant is the only person to look after his wife and two children. It is, therefore, prayed that on humanitarian ground, applicant may be granted interim bail.

Per contra, Ld. State Counsel has argued that as



Conduct  
Report from  
Jail of  
Poona

per the allegations, applicant is involved in two cases of murder. It is also argued that in case he is released on interim bail, there is every possibility that he may threaten the complainant and witnesses. Therefore, it is prayed that applicant may not be granted interim bail.

I have considered rival arguments.

As per the allegations, the applicant is involved in two cases of murder. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, no ground is made out for grant of interim bail to the applicants/accused. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti.



**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**

Conduct  
Report from  
Jail of  
Pooran

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**FIR No. 109/2019  
PS : Rajouri Garden  
State Vs. Prince Dixit @ Vikram @ Ors.  
U/s 302/201/380/411/120B/34 IPC**

28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of interim bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant Raghvender Singh @ Rinku.


Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
Sh. S.K. Tiwari, Ld Counsel for the  
applicant/accused.

Reply to the application filed. Copy supplied to the State.

I have heard arguments from both the sides and perused the record.

By way of this application, the applicant is seeking interim bail on the ground that the wife of applicant is suffering from diseases and she is not being taken care by the son of the applicant. The applicant is the only person to look after his wife and two children. It is, therefore, prayed that on humanitarian ground, applicant may be granted interim bail.

Per contra, Ld. State Counsel has argued that as



dict  
per's from  
Jail of  
30/5

per the allegations, applicant is involved in two cases of murder. It is also argued that in case he is released on interim bail, there is every possibility that he may threaten the complainant and witnesses. Therefore, it is prayed that applicant may not be granted interim bail.

I have considered rival arguments.

As per the allegations, the applicant is involved in two cases of murder. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, no ground is made out for grant of interim bail to the applicants/accused. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

  
**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

**FIR No. 511/2019**  
**PS : Rajouri Garden**  
**State Vs. Shiv Charan Chauhan & Anr.**  
**U/s 302/323/34 IPC**

28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of interim bail moved on behalf of accused/applicants Shiv Charan Chauhan and Anit @ Guddu.


Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
Sh. Amit Sarkar, Ld Counsel for the  
applicants/accused.

Reply to the application filed. Copy supplied to the State.

I have heard arguments from both the sides and perused the record.

By way of this application, both the applicants are seeking interim bail of four months on the ground that all the family members of the applicants/accused are involved in this case and are in jail. Only wife of the applicant Shiv Charan Chauhan and his daughter-n-law and their minor children are at home and there is no one to look after them. Because of this pandemic COVID-19 scenario, they are unable to meet their ends. It is, therefore, prayed that on



1. Signature of the advocate 
2. Name in Bold Letters: AMIT SARKAR
3. Enrollment NO. D-2074/1999
4. Address : Y-39, CIVIL SIDE Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi-54
5. Mobile no : 9211119738
6. E-mail address : piccamitsarkar@gmail.com

dict  
copy for  
Jail of  
0072



humanitarian ground, applicants may be granted interim bail.

Per contra, Ld. State Counsel has argued that the allegations against applicants are very serious in nature. The weapon of offence was recovered at the instance of accused/applicant Anit. It is also argued that in case they are released on interim bail, there is every possibility that they may threaten the complainant and witnesses. Therefore, it is prayed that applicants may not be granted interim bail.

I have considered rival arguments.

As per the allegations, both the applicants are involved in heinous offence of murder. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, no ground is made out for grant of interim bail to the applicants/accused. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti.



**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**Bail Appl. No. 1036/20  
FIR No. 101/20  
PS : Mianwali Nagar  
State Vs. Maqsood Dabir Shaikh  
U/s 420 IPC**

28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
Sh. Mahender Pratap, Ld Counsel for the  
applicant/accused.  
Sh. Alok Pandey, Ld. Counsel for complainant.  
IO SI Ritu Raj in person.

Reply to the application filed by the IO. Written submissions filed on behalf of applicant.

I have heard arguments from both the sides and perused the record as well as reply and written submissions.

It is argued on behalf of applicant that he is innocent and has no role in this case. It is further argued that the allegations levelled by the complainant are false and fabricated.

Per contra, Ld. State Counsel has argued that applicant has not joined the investigation to provide



necessary documents as directed by Ld. Court vide order dated 15.05.2020. It is further argued that case is at the initial stage of investigation.

I have considered rival arguments as well as the written submissions filed on behalf of applicant.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant/accused at this stage. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

  
**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**Bail Appl. No. 1035/20  
FIR No. 101/20  
PS : Mianwali Nagar  
State Vs. Dabir Ahmed Shamsuddin Shaikh  
U/s 420 IPC**

28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant


Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
Sh. Mahender Pratap, Ld Counsel for the  
applicant/accused.  
Sh. Alok Pandey, Ld. Counsel for complainant.  
IO SI Ritu Raj in person.

Reply to the application filed by the IO. Written submissions filed on behalf of applicant.

I have heard arguments from both the sides and perused the record as well as reply and written submissions.

It is argued on behalf of applicant that he is innocent and has no role in this case. It is further argued that the allegations levelled by the complainant are false and fabricated.

Per contra, Ld. State Counsel has argued that applicant has not joined the investigation to provide



necessary documents as directed by Ld. Court vide order dated 15.05.2020. It is further argued that case is at the initial stage of investigation.

I have considered rival arguments as well as the written submissions filed on behalf of applicant.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant/accused at this stage. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti.



**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST),  
ITS HAZARI COURTS ; DELHI

FIR No. 93/2020  
PS : Paschim Vihar  
State Vs. Lakhan  
U/s 376/506 IPC

28.05.2020


This is the application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant.

Present : Sh. Ganish Giri, Ed. Addl. PP for State,  
Complainant in person.  
Sh. Rajiv Mohan, Ed Counsel for the  
applicant/accused through Whatsapp Video Call.  
IO Wd Anita in person.

The present application is to be heard through CISCO Webex, however, there was some problem in CISCO Webex and hence, the Ed. Counsel for applicant was heard through Whatsapp Video Call with speaker on.

I have heard arguments from both the sides and perused the record.

It is argued on behalf of applicant that he is innocent and the allegations levelled by the complainant are false and fabricated. It is further argued that there is a delay of two years in lodging the FIR. It is further argued that as per allegations physical relations were made between complainant and applicant from July 2018 and lastly in September, 2019, however, during all this time, she did not inform her husband and only in February, 2020, the present FIR was lodged. It is further argued that investigation is complete and chargesheet



has been filed and no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the accused behind bar. It is further submitted that applicant is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this court in case he is granted bail. It is, therefore, prayed that applicant may be released on bail.


Per contra, Ld. State Counsel has opposed the bail application on the ground that the allegations against the applicant are very serious in nature. It is further argued that applicant has taken advantage of the loneliness of the victim and made physical relations with her and threatened her. Out of social stigma and threat given by the applicant, victim did not tell about the incident to anyone.

To counter the above arguments, Ld. Counsel for applicant argued that applicant belongs to Etah, UP and was residing as a tenant in the house of complainant and hence, there was no possibility that he could threaten the complainant. Even there is not a 100 no. call made by the complainant.

I have considered rival arguments as well as the written submissions filed on behalf of applicant.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the serious allegations against the applicant, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the applicant/accused at this stage. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

  
**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
28.05.2020

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST),  
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**FIR No. 318/2017  
PS : Moti Nagar  
State Vs. Lalit Chandna  
U/s 302/394/397/34 IPC**

28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of interim bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant


Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State.  
Sh. Yogesh Sharma, Ld Counsel for the  
applicant/accused through Whatsapp Video Call.

The present application is to be heard through CISCO Webex, however, there was some problem in CISCO Webex and hence, the Ld. Counsel for applicant was heard through Whatsapp Video Call with speaker on.

I have heard arguments from both the sides and perused the record.

By way of this application, applicant is seeking interim bail of 45 days on the ground that his wife is due for surgery and there is no one to look after her. It is also submitted that due to the prevailing circumstances caused due to pandemic COVID-19, his wife is unable to meet her ends. It is, therefore, prayed that on humanitarian grounds, applicant may be released on interim bail.

Per contra, Ld. State Counsel has opposed the bail application on the ground that the documents submitted by





the applicant in support of his application are old and further the wife of the applicant is not required for any medical emergency. It is also argued that the allegations against the applicant are very serious in nature.

I have considered rival arguments.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the serious allegations against the applicant, no ground is made out for grant of interim bail to the applicant/accused at this stage. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti.



**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**FIR No. 28/18  
PS : Moti Nagar  
State Vs. Rattan Singh Rainu  
U/s 395/394/397/411/34 IPC & 25/54/59 Arms Act**

28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of interim bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
Sh. Sidharth Pandit, Ld Counsel for the  
applicant/accused Sunil Kumar.

Reply to the application filed through mail.

By way of this application, the applicant is seeking interim bail of two months on the ground that applicant's father is scheduled to undergo surgery on 02.06.2020 and there is no one to look after him except the applicant. It is, therefore, prayed that on humanitarian ground, applicant may be granted interim bail.

Per contra, Ld. State Counsel has opposed the application in view of the reply of the IO wherein it is mentioned that there are family members available in the village of the father of applicant who can take care of him. It is also argued that the allegations against the applicant are serious in nature. Therefore, it is prayed that applicant may




-2-

not be granted interim bail.

I have considered rival arguments.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and as per the reply of the IO, family members being available to take care of father of applicant, no ground is made out for grant of interim bail to the applicant/accused. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

  
**(POQRAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**



**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**FIR No. 105/2020  
PS : Paschim Vihar  
State Vs. Mujaffar Alam @ Babu  
U/s 363 IPC and 8 & 12 POCSO Act.**

28.05.2020

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**FIR No.322/18  
PS : Khyala  
State Vs. Vinod Bhatt  
U/s. 302/307/34 IPC**

**28.05.2020**

This is the application for extension of interim bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Vinod Bhatti u/s. 439 Cr.PC.

**Present :** Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. M.A. Hussain, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply of the bail application filed on behalf of IO.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused is not ready for arguments and seeks adjournment. Allowed.

At the request of Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused, put up on

**30.05.2020.**

  
**(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020**

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

Video Conferencing  
FIR No.1763/2015  
PS : Rajouri Garden  
State Vs. Abhishek  
U/s. 302/201 IPC

28.05.2020


This is the interim bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Abhishek u/s. 439 Cr.PC.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. Divakar Upadhyaya, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Due to connectivity problem in CISCO Webex, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has made whatsapp video call on the mobile of Ahlmad of this court and speaker of the mobile was on and in the presence of Ld. State Counsel arguments heard.

Ld. Counsel for applicant submits that he has filed present bail application as per criteria mentioned in minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court dated 18.05.2020 and Ld. Counsel has also argued that no other case is pending against applicant/accused Hence, Ld. Counsel further requests to transfer this application to the designated court to deal with bail application as per the directions of HPC of Hon'ble High Court.

Contd...



Since to deal with the bail application as per the minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court, the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi has been designated for the same.

Let this bail application be put up before the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi for 29.05.2020.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**Video Conferencing**

**FIR No.55/20**

**PS : Nangloi**

**State Vs. Naveen Saini**

**U/s. 342/376/506 IPC, 4/12/17 POSCO Act and 3/4/8 ITP Act.**

28.05.2020


This is the interim bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Naveen Saini.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. N.K. Gupta, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.  
Victim in person alongwith her mother.  
Ms. Deepika Sachdeva, Ld. Counsel from DCW.

IO not present since morning. It is 3:00 pm now.

Due to connectivity problem in CISCO Webex, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has made whatsapp video call on the mobile of Ahlmad of this court and speaker of the mobile was on and in the presence of Ld. State Counsel arguments heard.

Ld. State Counsel submits that on previous date, IO was present but victim/complainant was not present. However, reply to the bail application is on record. Ld. State Counsel further submits that assistance of IO is required to address the arguments. Hence, IO is required to call for next date.

 Contd...



-2-

At the request of Ld. State Counsel, IO and complainant through IO be called for 03.06.2020.



(POORAN CHAND)

ASJ-02/West/Delhi

28.05.2020

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**Video Conferencing**

**FIR No.57/11**

**PS : Khyala**

**State Vs. Arvind Azad**

**U/s. 302/364/365/201 IPC**

28.05.2020


This is the bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Arvind Azad u/s. 439 Cr.PC.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. A.K. Prasanna, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Due to connectivity problem in CISCO Webex, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has made whatsapp video call on the mobile of Ahlmad of this court and speaker of the mobile was on and in the presence of Ld. State Counsel arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for applicant/accused submits that accused has been granted interim bail for 30 days in view of the fresh directions given by the High Powered Committee of Hon'ble High Court today itself and requests for longer date after 4 weeks as it is regular bail application.

In view of the submissions of Ld. Counsel put up this bail application on 04.07.2020.



**(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi**

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ 02 (WEST), JIS  
HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

Video Conferencing

FIR No. 326/16

PS : Vikas Puri

State Vs. Salman @ Rlyazuddin

U/n. 302/307/452/427/447/448/449/54/120B IPC

28.05.2020

This is the interim bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Salman u/n. 449 Cr.PC.

Present :

Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.

Sh. Naveen Kohar, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

SI Vishal Tiwari on behalf of IO/Inspector R.K. Maan.

Reply to the bail application filed by IO. Same is taken on

record.

Due to connectivity problem in CISCO Webex, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has made whatsapp video call on the mobile of Ahmad of this court and speaker of the mobile was on and in the presence of Ld. State Counsel arguments heard.

Ld. Counsel for applicant submits that he has filed present bail application as per criteria mentioned in minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court dated 18.05.2020 and Ld. Counsel has also argued that no other case is pending against applicant/accused. Hence, Ld. Counsel further requests to transfer this

Contd...




-2-

application to the designated court to deal with bail application as per the directions of IPC of Hon'ble High Court.

Since to deal with the bail application as per the minutes of High Powered Committee (IPC) of Hon'ble High Court, the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi has been designated for the same.

Let this bail application be put up before the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi for 29.05.2020.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

Case  
Order  
Page  
Arum

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**Video Conferencing**

**FIR No.326/16**

**PS : Vikas Puri**

**State Vs. Behruddin**

**U/s. 302/307/452/427/147/148/149/34/120B IPC**

28.05.2020

This is the interim bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Behruddin u/s. 439 Cr.PC.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. Lal Singh Thakur, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.  
SI Vishal Tiwari on behalf of IO/Inspector R.K. Maan.

Reply to the bail application filed by IO. Same is taken on record.

Due to connectivity problem in CISCO Webex, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has made whatsapp video call on the mobile of Ahlmad of this court and speaker of the mobile was on and in the presence of Ld. State Counsel arguments heard.

Ld. Counsel for applicant submits that he has filed present bail application as per criteria mentioned in minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court dated 18.05.2020 and Ld. Counsel has also argued that no other case is pending against applicant/accused. Hence, Ld. Counsel further requests to transfer this




Contd...

application to the designated court to deal with bail application as per the directions of HPC of Hon'ble High Court.

Since to deal with the bail application as per the minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court, the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, I.d. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi has been designated for the same.

Let this bail application be put up before the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, I.d. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi for 29.05.2020.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**Bail Appl. No. 651 & 652  
FIR No. 103/2020  
PS : Moti Nagar  
U/s 33 of Delhi Excise Act.  
State Vs. 1. Tejpal Singh  
2. Laxman Singh Goswami**


28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail moved on behalf of accused/applicants Tejpal Singh and Laxman Singh Goswami.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
None for the applicants/accused.

It is 4.00 pm. None has appeared on behalf of applicants since morning despite repeated calls. In the interest of justice, no adverse order is passed.

Put up for consideration on 04.06.2020.



**(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020**

t  
d  
id  
st  
d...

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

FIR No.476/20

PS : Rajouri Garden

State Vs. Vineet Tetri

U/s. 323/341/379/34/506 IPC

28.05.2020

This is an anticipatory bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Vineet Tetri u/s. 438 Cr.PC.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Complainant Sh. Abhinav Sharma in person with Ld. Counsel  
Sh. Atul Kumar Sharma.  
Sh. A.D. Malik, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.  
IO/SI Rajender Dakka in person.

Ld. Counsel for both the parties as well as complainant  
sought adjournment. Allowed.

Put up for consideration on the bail application on  
30.05.2020.



(POORAN CHAND)

ASJ-02/West/Delhi

28.05.2020

see  
noted  
post  
vineet tetri



**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02  
(WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**Bail Appl. No. 4666  
FIR No. 531/19  
PS : Moti Nagar  
State Vs. Kanishk Singh  
U/s 498A/406/34 IPC**

28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for State  
None for the applicant/accused.

It is 4.00 pm. None has appeared on behalf of applicant since morning despite repeated calls. In the interest of justice, no adverse order is passed.

Put up for consideration on 03.06.2020.



**(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020**

*Case  
produced  
Report &  
Arrested*

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

Video Conferencing

FIR No.452/2017

PS : Rajouri Garden

State Vs. Imran

U/s. 302/201/34 IPC & 25 Arms Act

28.05.2020

This is the interim bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Imran u/s. 439 Cr.PC.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state,  
Sh. Iqbal Khan, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

The bail application is put up for video conferencing but Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused appeared in person and requests to take up the matter. Heard. Allowed.


Ld. Counsel for applicant submits that he has filed present bail application as per criteria mentioned in minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court dated 18.05.2020 and Ld. Counsel has also argued that no other case is pending against applicant/accused. Hence, Ld. Counsel further requests to transfer this application to the designated court to deal with bail application as per the directions of HPC of Hon'ble High Court.



Contd...

Since to deal with the bail application as per the minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Haryana High Court, the court of Mr. Nihal Singh, Id. ASJ-43, West District, Delhi has been designated for the same.

Let this bail application be put up before the court of Mr. Nihal Singh, Id. ASJ-43, West District, Delhi for 29.05.2020.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-42 West Delhi  
28.05.2020

all  
referred  
part 2  
and part 1

IN THE COURT OF THE PUNJABI CHIEF JUSTICE, THE  
HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB

FIR No. 110/10  
Pt. Pabul Singh  
State Vs. Bal Kishan Bani  
U/s. 109/304/407/411/410/419/411 PC - & 37 Arms Act

20.05.2020

This is the interim bail application on behalf of  
applicant/accused

Present: Sh. Gurbachan Lal, Addl. PP for the state  
Sh. Prashant Yadav, Ed. Counsel for applicant/accused

Reply filed on behalf of Pt.

At this stage, Ed. Counsel for applicant/accused seeks to  
withdraw this bail application

In view of the submissions made by Ed. Counsel for  
applicant/accused, bail application is dismissed as withdrawn

  
(GURBACHAN CHANDEL)  
ADDL. JUDGE (D-III)  
20.05.2020

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

Video Conferencing

FIR No.334/19

PS : Nangloi

State Vs. Deepak Kumar

U/s. 328/376D/384/34/506 IPC

28.05.2020

This is the interim bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Deepak Kumar u/s. 439 Cr.PC.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. Kuldeep Mansukhani, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Due to connectivity problem in CISCO Webex, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has made whatsapp video call on the mobile of Ahlmad of this court and speaker of the mobile was on and in the presence of Ld. State Counsel arguments heard.

Prior to addressing the arguments by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused named above, Ld. State Counsel straightaway argued that for the offence u/s. 376D IPC the notice to the victim/complainant is necessarily required as per mandate of law.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that he has no objection if the said notice be issued to the complainant.

Contd...



FIR  
PS  
State  
C/s. M

28.05.2020

applicant accused  
Present

Sh. G  
Sh. Dh

Due to cr  
for applicant accused  
Attorney of this court and  
of Ld. State Counsel

arguments  
Ld. Counsel  
bail application  
Com

2

In view of the submissions made above, let the notice be  
issued to victim/complainant through IC/SHO for 02.06.2020.



(POORAN CHAND)  
ASI-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

i  
n  
d...

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

Video Conferencing

FIR No.486/13

PS : Tilak Nagar

State Vs. Mukesh Soni & Ors.

U/s. 302/201/120B/34 IPC and 25/27/54/59 Arms Act

28.05.2020

This is the interim bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Rajesh Singh Lamba u/s. 439 Cr.PC.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. Vikas Padora, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Due to connectivity problem in CISCO Webex, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has made whatsapp video call on the mobile of Ahlmad of this court and speaker of the mobile was on and in the presence of Ld. State Counsel arguments heard.

Ld. Counsel for applicant submits that he has filed present bail application as per criteria mentioned in minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court dated 18.05.2020 and Ld. Counsel has also argued that no other case is pending against applicant/accused and in this regard he has also annexed affidavit. Hence, Ld. Counsel further requests to transfer this application to the designated

Contd...



court to deal with bail application as per the directions of HPC of Hon'ble High Court.

Since to deal with the bail application as per the minutes of High Powered Committee (HPC) of Hon'ble High Court, the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi has been designated for the same.

Let this bail application be put up before the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ-03, West District, Delhi for 29.05.2020.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020



**IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**Revision petition  
Karan Chaudela Vs. State**


**28.05.2020**

**Present :** Sh. P.K. Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for revisionist.  
Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state/respondent.

I have perused the revision petition as well as partly heard  
Ld. Counsel for revisionist.

Let TCR be called for concerned court for next date.

Put up for consideration on 03.06.2020.

  
**(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020**

IN THE COURT OF MR. POORAN CHAND, ASJ 02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

FIR No. 114/20

PS - Paschim Vihar

State Vs. Somu

U/s. 323/308/341/354/452/506/509/34 IPC

28.05.2020

This is an anticipatory bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Somu u/s. 438 Cr.P.C.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. Sanjeev Sharma, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.  
Sh. Lovish Seth, Ld. Counsel for complainant.  
IO/ASI Kuldeep, PS Paschim Vihar (East).

IO filed reply to the bail application. Same is taken on record.

I have heard arguments from both sides and perused the bail application.

It is argued on behalf of applicant/accused that main accused Somu has been granted bail. Present applicant Somu is not named in the FIR, therefore, he be admitted to bail for the offence of accused.

Per contra, bail order opposed on behalf of Ld. Counsel for complainant as well as IO and Ld. State Counsel on the ground that weapon of offence has not been recorded yet. Custodial interrogation is required.

Contd...



IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

FIR No. 267/15

PS : Nihal Vihar

State Vs. Azad Khan @ Salman Umesh @ Nonu

u/s. 392/397/34 IPC

28.05.2020

This is the bail application on behalf of applicant/accused  
Azad Khan.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. Narendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused  
alongwith Ms. Anita, Mother of applicant/accused.

Reply filed on behalf of IO. Same is taken on record.

Record perused. Record speaks that in this case proceedings  
u/s. 82/83 Cr.PC have been initiated against the applicant/accused and his  
production warrant was issued but during that time the work of the court  
was suspended and accused could not be produced before this court.

Record also speaks that surety bond has also been forfeited.  
Hence, prayer sought in the present application cannot be allowed to the  
effect that accused is on bail in this case as coercive methods has already

Contd...



been found to secure the production of material in trial by pointing to facts this  
court and case is heard by 30.06.2020. Hence, in view of the above reasons,  
application is dismissed to facts decribed in it.



(POORAN CHAND)  
ASST. JUDGE/Delhi  
28.05.2020

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

FIR No.12633/19  
PS : Punjabi Bagh  
State Vs. Abdul  
U/s. 392/397/411/34 IPC

28.05.2020

This is the interim bail application on behalf of  
applicant/accused Abdul u/s. 439 Cr.PC.

Present :

Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. Sunil Tomar, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.  
IO/SI Ghanshyam in person.

IO filed reply. Same is taken on record.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that he is not ready  
for arguments today and has sought short adjournment. Allowed.

Put up for arguments on 05.06.2020.



(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI


FIR No.386/19  
PS : Rajouri Garden  
State Vs.Raja Singh  
U/s. 20/61/85 NDPS Act.

28.05.2020

This is the interim bail application on behalf of  
applicant/accused Raja Singh u/s. 439 IPC.



Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. U.K. Giri, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply filed on behalf of IO. Same is taken on record.  
Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that he is not ready  
for arguments today and has sought short adjournment. Allowed.  
Put up for arguments on 29.05.2020.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

Delhi.

27.05.2020

   
(R.K. Giri & U.K. Giri)  
Advocates for applicant  
Chamber no. V-25, Opposite  
SBI, Tis Hazari C

ESTD. TIS

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

FIR No.105/17

PS : Khyala

State Vs. Prem Prakash @ Babu @ Pappu

U/s. 376 IPC, 4/6 POSCO, 4/5/6 FTP Act.

28.05.2020

This is the 2<sup>nd</sup> bail application on behalf of applicant/accused  
Prem Prakash u/s. 439 Cr.PC.


Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. A.K. Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Part arguments heard.

At this stage, Ld. State Counsel submits that offence of  
POSCO Act is also there in this case. Hence, notice of this application is  
required to be sent to victim/complainant.

In view of the submissions made by Ld. State Counsel, let the  
notice be issued to victim/complainant through IO/SHO for next date.

Put up this bail application on 06.06.2020.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

Mr. A. K. Srivastava  
Advocate  
Lib 2 SC Tilak Marg N.D.  
Mbl No. 9810119759  
RUPN-51528/20  
ankendrasrivastava@yahoo.in

...stage. Ld. Counsel for applicant seeks adjournment on the ground that he has to file certain documents in support of his arguments and sought short adjournment. Allowed.

At the request of Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused put up for further arguments on 03.06.2020.

  
**(POORAN CHAND)**  
**ASJ-02/West/Delhi**  
**28.05.2020**



IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ 02 (WEST), TS  
HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

File No. 4/19

PG - Vikas Park

State vs. Palkhivala or Palku

U/s. 45/42/45A/45B/41 PC & A.P.S.C.

05.05.2020

This is the bail application on behalf of applicant/accused  
Palkhivala or Palku u/s. A.P.S.C.

Present : Sh. Chandan, Ld. Addl. PP for the state  
Sh. Anubala, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused  
U/s. Vikas Park, PG Vikas Park.

It is filed reply

Part arguments heard.

At this stage, Ld. State Counsel submits that offence of  
P.W. 11 Act is also there in this case. Hence, notice of this application is  
required to be sent to victim/complainant.

In view of the submissions made by Ld. State Counsel, let the  
notice be issued to victim/complainant through IO for next date.

Put up this bail application on 01.06.2020.

(POORAN CHAND)

ASJ 02/West/Delhi

28.05.2020

IN THE COURT OF SHI POORAN CHAND, ASJ 02 (WEST), FIS  
HAZARE COURTS, DELHI

File No 13/19  
Pt. Rajendra Chandra  
State Vs Ranjiv  
U/s 146/147/201 IPC

30.03.2020


This is the fresh interim bail application on behalf of applicant/accused Ranjiv.

Present: Sh. Vinod Chit. Lal, Adll. PP for the state  
Sh. Alamme, Ed. Counsel for applicant/accused.

The bail application moved for grant of interim bail on the medical ground but no medical record be annexed with this application.

Ed. Counsel for applicant seeks two days time to file the medical record. Allowed.

Put up for consideration on 30.03.2020.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ 02/West/Delhi  
28.03.2020

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

Video Conferencing

FIR No. 48/2008

PS : Jama Masjid

State Vs. Mohd. Wassi and ors.

U/s. 399/402/307 IPC

28.05.2020

This is an application on behalf of applicant/accused Shahjad Akhtar @ Sajjad seeking directions to jail superintendent, Central Jail, Jaipur to the effect that the applicant is on bail in the above case.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. R.P. Tyagi, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Due to connectivity problem in CISCO Webex, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has made whatsapp video call on the mobile of Ahlmad of this court and speaker of the mobile was on and in the presence of Ld. State Counsel arguments heard.

Since the above mentioned case is pending before this court and report from the Ahlmad called to the effect whether accused named above is on bail in this case or not. As per report of Ahlmad, accused is on bail in this case.

In view of the report, present application is disposed off with the direction to Superintendent, Central Jail, Jaipur that accused is on bail

Contd...



-2-

in the above mentioned case and has no objection if accused is released in case FIR no. 535/2019, u/s. 380/454 IPC, PS Jawahar Nagar, if not wanted in any other case. Copy of this order be given to Ld. Counsel for applicant dasti, as prayed and another copy be sent to Superintendent, Central Jail, Jaipur for information and compliance.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ 02 (WEST),  
119 HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

Bail Appl. No. 1114  
FIR No. 00/2020  
PS : Tilak Nagar  
State Vs. Arvind @ Keshav  
U/s 302/307/34 IPC & 25 Arms Act

28.05.2020

This is the application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of interim bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant Arvind @ Keshav.

Present : Sh. Garish Gill, Id. Addl. PP for State,  
Sh. Manoj Singh, Id. Counsel for the  
applicant/accused through Whatsapp Video Call.

The present application is to be heard through Cisco Webex, however, there was some problem in CISCO Webex and hence, the Id. Counsel for applicant was heard through Whatsapp Video call with speaker on.

I have heard arguments from both the sides and perused the reply.

By way of this application, applicant is seeking interim bail of 45 days on the ground that after his arrest, his second child was born and he could not see his second child since his arrest. It is also argued that two co accused have already been enlarged on bail and on the ground of parity, he may be released on bail. Another ground raised is that applicant is lodged in Central Jail, Rohini where many undertrial prisoners have infected with COVID 19 and he may



also get interred with the same. It is, therefore, prayed that on humanitarian grounds also, applicant may be released on interim bail.

Per contra, the State Counsel has opposed the bail application on the ground that ground taken by the applicant is no ground for seeking interim bail. Further, it is not an urgent ground for moving present application.

I have considered rival arguments.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, no ground is made out for grant of interim bail to the applicant/accused at this stage. Application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of this order be given last.



(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ 02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

IN THE COURT OF SH. POORAN CHAND, ASJ-02 (WEST), TIS  
HAZARI COURTS : DELHI

FIR No.293/18  
PS : Patel Nagar  
State Vs. Dhiraj Puri  
U/s. 394/398/511/34 IPC

28.05.2020


This is the third bail application on behalf of  
applicant/accused Dhiraj Puri u/s. 439 Cr.PC.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. M.S. Bammi, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application not filed by IO.

Let notice be issued to the IO to file the reply.


Put up for 02.06.2020.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

At this stage, at 3:15 pm

Reply to the bail application received through mail from  
the office. Same is taken on record.

Put up on date already fixed i.e. on 03.06.2020.

  
(POORAN CHAND)  
ASJ-02/West/Delhi  
28.05.2020

Number No.

...11/5/20...  
Court - Delhi



IN THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

THE  
LIBRARY OF  
CONGRESS  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

...

...

...

...

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

...

...

...

...

...

...