IN THE COURT OF SH. VISHAL SINGH, ASJ-03 WEST DISTRICT, TIS HAZARI COURTS: DELHI

State Vs. 1. Jitender Solanki @ Jeetu, 2. Smt. Parvesh,

3. Smt. Santro Devi, 4. Smt. Parmila & 5. Kuldeep

FIR No.

395/2020

PS

Mundka

U/s.

354/354B/509/324/34 IPC

28.08.2020

This order shall deal with the applications moved U/s. 438 CrPC on behalf of applicant/accused 1. Jitender Solanki @ Jeetu, 2. Smt. Parvesh, 3. Smt. Santro Devi, 4. Smt. Parmila & 5. Kuldeep for grant of anticipatory bail.

Present:

Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Devender Kumar, Ld. counsel for applicants/accused persons.

.Sh. Pankaj Mohan, proxy counsel for Ld. counsel Sh. Abhilash Vashisth for complainant.

Complainant Ms. Manju and Ld. Counsel Sh. Abhilash for complainant have appeared through video conferencing, through video call at the personal Webex room of the Court.

The video call conference has been conducted on speaker mode so that the complainant and her counsel are visible and audible to all the persons present in the court room.



FIR No. 395/2020 PS Mundka U/s. 354/354B/509/324/34 IPC

- 1. Accused persons have sought anticipatory bail. As per FIR complainant Smt. Manju Lakra has been married to accused Kuldeep Lakra for around 24 years. They have two adult children a daughter and a son. Complainant Smt. Manju lives at first floor with her daughter, whereas, husband, son and mother-in-law of the complainant live at the ground floor of the same house. Mother-in-law of the complainant is a widow and is a senior citizen.
- 2. As per FIR, on 03/08/2020 on the day of Raksha Bandhan, Smt. Parvesh and Smt. Parmila, who are sisters-in-law (Nanad) of the complainant visited her mother-in-law at the ground floor. Accused Jitender is husband of Smt. Parvesh. The allegation is that accused Jitender came upstairs to the floor of complainant and sexually assaulted her. He tried to disrobe her. When she resisted, accused Jitender took out some sharp object from his mouth and caused injury to her on her left hand.
- 3. Ld. Counsel for applicants/accused persons has pointed out that as per FIR a call was made to police on 03/08/2020 regarding a domestic quarrel. The complainant was medically examined on that

Contd..3..

FIR No. 395/2020 PS Mundka U/s. 354/354B/509/324/34 IPC

day but she did not give any statement on 03/08/2020. The FIR dated 08/08/2020 reflects that the complainant stated in the hospital that she would give the statement later. Ld. Counsel for applicants/accused persons has stated that the complainant later concocted a false story of sexual and physical assault, upon which the present FIR was registered on 08/08/2020.

- 4. Ld. Counsel for applicants/accused persons stated that accused Jitender went to Canada for studies in year 2017. He got employed in Canada in February, 2019, since when he has been a resident of Canada. He came to India on 28/11/2019 to attend marriage of his real brother. He went back to Canada on 09/08/2020.
- 5. Ld. Counsel for applicants/accused persons has submitted that accused Jitender seldom visited the house of his mother-in-law, that too, when he was accompanied by his wife. The allegations related to sexual assault on the complainant lady aged around 45 years and married for around 24 years, and physical assault on her by taking out a sharp weapon from mouth, have been concocted by the complainant to pressurize her mother-in-law, who is also a co-accused in this case.

 Contd..4..



FIR No. 395/2020 PS Mundka U/s. 354/354B/509/324/34 IPC

6. The allegations against all accused persons except accused Jitender Solanki @ Jeetu are related to bailable offences. Accused Jitender is alleged to have no history of misbehaviour with the complainant. He reportedly studied in Canada and is on job at Canada. The complainant and her mother-in-law Smt. Santro Devi (accused herein) have past history of allegations against each other in the police station. Accused Smt. Santro Devi has got published a notification dated 12/05/2019 in Indian Express newspaper to disown all relations with the complainant. She has also served a legal notice dated 04/07/2019 on the complainant to vacate the rooms in her possession in her matrimonial home.

Thus, the complainant and her mother-in-law have history of domestic dispute between them.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and subject to joining of investigation by accused Jitender as and when required by the IO subject to 30 days of prior notice, the application of accused Jitender for anticipatory bail is allowed. Accused Jitender shall not visit the house of complainant/his mother-in-law till further orders and shall not try to contact the complainant. In the event arrest,

Contd..5..

FIR No. 395/2020 --5-PS Mundka
U/s. 354/354B/509/324/34 IPC

accused Jitender Solanki @ Jeetu shall be admitted to bail subject to furnishing of bail bond of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of IO/SHO PS Mundka.

8. Since the allegations against applicant accused Smt. Santro Devi, Kuldeep, Smt. Parmila and Smt. Parvesh are related to bailable offences, they shall be at liberty to furnish bail bond to the satisfaction of IO/SHO PS Mundka.

The applications are disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be given to Ld. Counsels for both the parties, as prayed for.

IN THE COURT OF SH. VISHAL SINGH, ASJ-03 (WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

Bail Application No. 1829 State Vs Kallu FIR No. 384/2019 PS Mundka U/s. 363 IPC

28.08.2020

application moved for withdrawal of application for bail. Application for grant of bail is taken ф 0n

Present: Sh. Jitender Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

platform, at personal room of the court. has appeared through video conferencing through Cisco Webex Sh. Arvind Kumar, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused

that he wishes to withdraw the present bail application. At this stage, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits

application is dismissed as withdrawn. applicant/accused and application In view of the submissions of Ld. for withdrawal, present bail Counsel for

UID No. 104/2020

CA No.

Vishal Kalra vs. Hiteshi Kalra

PS Patel Nagar

28.08.2020

Present: Sh. Hari Kishan, Ld. Counsel for appellant has appeared

through video conferencing through Cisco Webex

platform, at personal room of the court.

MM, Mahila Court-05, West. grant of stay on operation of impugned order dated 13.07.2020 of Ld. From the record, no prima facie ground is made out for

07.10.2020. SMS/e-mail/ Speed Post/Whatsapp on filing of PF, returnable for Issue notice of the appeal to the respondent through

reply to the appeal through e-mail with advance copy of the appellant Upon service of notice, respondent is directed to file

before the NDOH.

ASJ-03, WEST/DELHI (VISHAL SINGH) 28.08.2020

IN THE COURT OF SH. VISHAL SINGH, ASJ-03 WEST DISTRICT, TIS HAZARI COURTS: DELHI

State Vs. Ravinder Verma @ Ravi FIR No. 231/2014 PS Patel Nagar U/s.302/323/341/34 IPC

28.08.2020

behalf of applicant/accused for grant of interim bail. This is the second application filed U/s. 439 CrPC

Present: Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

Sh. Bipin Kumar Jha, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

granted interim bail for 30 days vide order dated 06/07/2020. Applicant/accused Ravinder Verma @ Ravi was earlier

grant of interim bail. He has been in J/c since 19/04/2014. The applicant/accused has filed this second application for

of Delhi High Court covered under the criteria laid down by Hon'ble High Powered Committee has criminal history of involvement of another case, this case is not 323/341/302/34. Considering the conduct of the accused in jail and that he history of involvement in case FIR No. 231/2014, PS Patel Nagar, U/s. rules. As per reply filed by IO, the applicant/accused has previous criminal unsatisfactory and he has been given punishment ticket for violating the jail conduct of accused Ravinder Verma @ As per report received from Superintendent, Jail No.04, Ravi in the jail is

R

Contd..2..

State Vs. Ravinder Verma @ Ravi FIR No. 231/2014 PS Patel Nagar

furnishing personal bond of Rs.20,000/- with one surety of like amount to Ravinder Verma @ Ravi is admitted to interim bail of 30 days, subject to 06 years of the accused in jail, the application for interim bail is allowed in view of keeping social distancing due to COVID-19 situation. following conditions: satisfaction of Ld. Duty MM. The interim bail shall be subject to However, considering the long custody period of more than Accused

- otherwise influence the complainant. The accused shall not attempt to contact, threaten or
- 2. The accused shall maintain good and peaceful

behaviour.

accordingly. 28/09/2020, Court/Jail Superintendent on expiry of interim bail whichever The accused shall surrender before is earlier. The application the is of concerned Trial 30 days disposed of 01

Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for

applicant/accused, as prayed for. Copy of this order be also sent to the concerned Jail

Superintendent for compliance

UID No. 107/2020
CA No. _____
Manpreet Kaur vs. Manmohan Singh
PS Hari Nagar

28.08.2020

Present: appeared through video conferencing through Cisco Sh. P K Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for appellant has Webex platform, at personal room of the court.

source of income finds it difficult to maintain herself being a house-wife having no Manpreet Kaur has been passed by the Ld. Trial Court, although she payment of ad-interim maintenance in favour of petitioner Ms. amount of Rs.2,500/- per month towards maintenance of the child been further directed to pay the school fees of the child. No order of from the date of the order till further order. Respondent No. 1 has respondent no. 1 Manmohan Singh has been directed to pay a meagre 05.08.2020 passed an ad-interim maintenance order vide which MM, Mahila Court-01, West, through impugned order Appellant is aggrieved that the Ld. Trial Court of Ld. dated

Contd..2..

UID No. 107/2020
CA No. _____
Manpreet Kaur vs. Manmohan Singh
PS Hari Nagar

-2

prima-facie opinion that the impugned order dated 05.08.2020 was interest of child of the parties. not passed on merits but as an ad-interim measure to take care of the Upon consideration of the case file, this court is of the

consideration of the Ld. Trial Court. The appellant may approach the appellant that the urgent financial needs of appellant need urgent maintenance is finally decided on merits. Ld. Trial Court again with submissions to urgently address the financial needs of the petitioner till application for grant of interim This court agrees with submissions of Ld. Counsel for

Put up for further proceedings on 21.09.2020.

IN THE COURT OF SH. VISHAL SINGH, ASJ-03 (WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

State Vs Umakant Yadav @ Pintu FIR No. 379/2015 PS Mundka U/s. 302/396/412/149/34 IPC

28.08.2020

Present: Sh. Jitender Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Akhilesh Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for applicant/

accused has appeared through video conferencing

through Cisco Webex platform, at personal room of the

court

bail in view of COVID19 pandemic. accused/applicant Umakant Yadav @ Pintu for extension of interim This an application moved 0n behalf $^{\circ}$

This applicant/accused has remained in JC around 05 years.

Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors.", Hon'ble High Court vide order dated the basis of orders in WP(C) No. 2945/2020, titled as "Shobha Gupta & 28.03.2020, 07.04.2020, 18.04.2020, 05.05.2020 and 18.05.2020, and on Power Committee (HPC) constituted by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated Further, in view of recommendations of the Hon'ble High

Contd..2..

State Vs Umakant Yadav @ Pintu FIR No. 379/2015 PS Mundka U/s. 302/396/412/149/34 IPC

-2-

the present application is allowed. The interim bail of applicant/accused is respective expiry of interim bail. Hence, in view of recommendations of extended for further 30 days. Hon'ble High Powered Committee (HPC), and old age of applicant/accused interim bails for a period of 45 days, granted to UTPs from the date of their 22.06.2020 in **WP(C) No. 3080/2020**, has already ordered for extension of

27.09.2020, whichever is earlier. Court/concerned Jail Superintendent on expiry of interim bail of 30 days or The accused shall surrender before the concerned Trial

The application is disposed of accordingly.

Superintendent for compliance. Copy of this order be also sent to the concerned Jail

accused through Whatsapp, as prayed for. Copy of this order be sent to Ld. Counsel for applicant/

State Vs. 1. Rekha & 2. Vishal PS Nihal Vihar FIR No. 847/2020 U/s. 304/506/34 IPC

moved U/s. 438 CrPC on behalf of applicant/accused Rekha and 28.08.2020 Vishal for grant of anticipatory bail. This common order shall deal with the applications

Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

Present: Sh. Neeraj Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for applicants/

accused persons has appeared through video conferencing, through

video call at the personal Webex room of the Court.

The video call conference has been conducted on speaker

mode so that Ld. Counsel for applicants/accused persons is visible

and audible to all the persons present in the court room.

The assistance of IO and investigation file will be

required to facilitate the arguments on anticipatory bail application. postmortem report and all relevant call records at 100 number in IO/SHO PS Nihal Vihar shall appear with investigation file,

reference to this case.

Put up on 31/08/2020.

ASJ-03, WEST/DELHI (VISHAL SINGH) 28.08.2020

IN THE COURT OF SH. VISHAL SINGH, ASJ-03 (WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

Bail Application No. 1688
State Vs Laxmi Narayan @ Bittoo
FIR No. 853/2019
PS Nihal Vihar
U/s. 380/457/411/34 IPC

28.08.2020

Narayan @ under Section 439 Cr.PC on behalf of applicant / accused Laxmi Bittoo. This is an application moved for grant of regular bail

Present: Sh. N K Dhama, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused. Sh. Jitender Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

IO not present.

recovered from the possession of this accused. mobile phones which are the case property of 15 other FIRs were also stolen mobile phones were recovered from him. In addition, several Laxmi Narayan @ night of 19/20.12.2019 after breaking open of its locks. Accused phones were stolen from the shop of complainant in the intervening IO has already filed the report. As per which, mobile Bittoo was arrested on 06.02.2020 and several

Contd..2..

Bail Application No. 1688
State Vs Laxmi Narayan @ Bittoo
FIR No. 853/2019
PS Nihal Vihar
U/s. 380/457/411/34 IPC

2

Several stolen mobile phones remained unrecovered.

although this court has no such information. remaining co-accused persons have already been granted regular bail, It has been submitted on behalf of applicant/accused that

Nagar, u/s 457/380/411 IPC. He is the BC of PS Ashok Vihar. been previously convicted in case FIR No. 132/11, PS Baba Hari Das Bittoo has been previously involved in 35 cases out of which he has As per report of IO, applicant/accused Laxmi Narayan @

dismissed he has the tendency of relapsing into criminal ways. Accordingly, the bail application of applicant/accused Laxmi Narayan @ criminal antecedents, I do not deem it safe to enlarge him on bail as Considering the recovery from the accused and his Bittoo is

Copy of the order be given dasti.

U/s. 392/452/269/188/34 IPC & Section 25/54/59 Arms Act. PS Nihal Vihar FIR No. 290/2020 State Vs. Sagar

28.08.2020

of applicant/accused Sagar for grant of bail. This is an application moved U/s. 439 CrPC on behalf

Present: Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

personal Webex room of the Court. appeared through video conferencing, through video call at the Sh. Vikas Bhatia, Ld. counsel for applicant/accused has

to all the persons present in the court room. mode so that Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused is visible and audible The video call conference has been conducted on speaker

Reply to the bail application already received from IO.

TCR not received.

TCR be called on robkar from the concerned Court of

Ld. MM.

Put up for consideration on 29/08/2020.

IN THE COURT OF SH. VISHAL SINGH, ASJ-03 (WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

PS Mundka FIR No. 58/2020 State Vs Ashok Kumar Bail Application No. U/s. 374/397/459/34 IPC & Sec 25/27/54/59 Arms Act

28.08.2020

Kumar. under Section 439 Cr.PC on behalf of applicant / accused Ashok This is an application moved for grant of interim bail

Present: Sh. Jitender Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

court. through Cisco Webex platform, at personal room of the accused has appeared through video conferencing Sh. Harsh Vardhan Sharma, Ld. Counsel for applicant/

application is adjourned for 29.08.2020. On request of Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused, bail

IN THE COURT OF SH. VISHAL SINGH, ASJ-03 (WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

Bail Application No. 1695 State Vs Praveen FIR No. 375/2020 PS Mundka U/s. 33/38/58 Delhi Excise Act

28.08.2020

under Section 437 Cr.PC on behalf of applicant / accused Praveen. This is an application moved for grant of regular bail

Present: Sh. Jitender Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

has appeared through video conferencing through Cisco Sh. Harsh Vardhan, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused Webex platform, at personal room of the court.

IO HC Krishan Kumar in person.

Part arguments heard.

that he wishes to withdraw the present bail application At this stage, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits

applicant/accused, present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn. view of the submissions of Ld. Counsel for

SC No. 56922/2016 State Vs Yogesh @ Matru etc. FIR No. 146/2013 PS Anand Parbat U/s. 302/307/323/324/34 IPC

28.08.2020

Present: Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Accused Sunil on bail but not present today.

Other accused persons namely Kamlesh, Yogesh @

Matru and Gaurav are in JC but not produced due to

current situation of COVID-19.

Sh. Praveen Dabas, Ld. Counsel for accused Sunil through Victim Vikas Anand with Ld. Counsel Sh. Manoj Sharma.

video conferencing.

None for other accused persons.

The matter is at the stage of judgment.

despite repeated calls. Accordingly, his personal bond and surety bonds stands forfeited. Accused Sunil Kumar has not appeared before the court

Section 446 Cr.PC against his surety to be executed through SHO concerned, returnable for 29.08.2020. Issue NBW against accused Sunil Kumar and notice under

IN THE COURT OF SH. VISHAL SINGH, ASJ-03 (WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

Bail Application No.
State Vs Aas Mohd. @ Ashu
FIR No. 337/2017
PS Punjabi Bagh
U/s. 392/397/34 IPC

28.08.2020

Mohd. @ Ashu. under Section 439 Cr.PC on behalf of applicant / accused Aas This is an application moved for grant of interim bail

Present: Sh. Jitender Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

platform, at personal room of the court. appeared through video conferencing through Cisco Webex Sh. Praveen Dabas, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused

Part arguments heard.

that he wishes to withdraw the present bail application. At this stage, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits

applicant/accused, present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn. In view of the submissions of Ld. Counsel for

SC No. 57508/2016 State Vs Mohd. Nafees etc. FIR No. 653/2015 PS Ranhola U/s. 498A/304B/34 IPC

28.08.2020

Present: Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Sundeshwar Lal, Ld. Counsel for accused persons

Accused Mohd. Hasib is present on interim bail.

present today. Accused Shabnam and Nafees are on interim bail but not

persons. Same are taken on record. Written final arguments filed on behalf of accused

29.08.2020 Put up for final arguments from Prosecution side for Oral final arguments heard on behalf of accused persons.

through video conferencing on NDOH. Counsel for complainant to appear in person or

State Vs Yogesh @ Matru etc. SC No. 56922/2016 U/s. 302/307/323/324/34 IPC **PS Anand Parbat** FIR No. 146/2013

28.08.2020

Present: Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Accused Sunil on bail but not present today.

Other accused persons namely Kamlesh, Yogesh @

Matru and Gaurav are in JC but not produced due to

current situation of COVID-19.

Victim Vikas Anand with Ld. Counsel Sh. Manoj Sharma.

Sh. Praveen Dabas, Ld. Counsel for accused Sunil through

video conferencing.

None for other accused persons

The matter is at the stage of judgment.

despite repeated calls. Accordingly, his personal bond and surety bonds stands forfeited. Accused Sunil Kumar has not appeared before the court

Section 446 Cr.PC against his surety Issue NBW against accused Sunil Kumar and notice under to be executed through SHO

concerned, returnable for 29.08.2020.

ASJ-03, WEST/DELHI (VISHAL SINGH) 28.08.2020

State Vs. Saurabh Khatri

FIR No. 70/2020

PS Ranhola

U/s. 302/120B IPC & Section 25/27 Arms Act

28.08.2020

applicant/accused for grant of interim bail. This is an application filed U/s. 439 CrPC on behalf of

Present: Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

applicant/accused. Sh. S.P. Kaushal and Sh. S.K. Atri, Ld. Counsels for

has been advised a non-critical surgery. of his mother who has been diagnosed with endometrical polyp and The accused has sought grant of interim bail to take care

dismissed. The date of surgery is yet to be fixed by the hospital. application of accused for grant of regular bail has already Accused has a married sister who lives in Delhi. The been

when the date of surgery of mother is fixed by the hospital. The Court can consider grant of interim bail of 2-3 days

Applicant to report the date of surgery to the Court

Put up for consideration on 03/09/2020.

State Vs. Deepu Singh @ Kada FIR No. 667/2019 PS Nihal Vihar U/s. 304/341 IPC

28.08.2020

granted on 18/08/2020. applicant/accused for extension of interim bail to the accused This is an application filed U/s. 439 CrPC on behalf of

Present: Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

Sh. Naresh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Committee of Delhi High Court. not covered by minutes of meetings of Hon'ble High Powered expired. There is no ground for extension of interim bail. This case is of performing last rites and period of interim bail have already 18/08/2020 in order to perform last rites of his aunt (bua). The period Accused was granted interim bail of 10 days on

interim bail to the applicant/accused is hereby dismissed not already surrendered. The application for grant of extension of The applicant/accused must surrender immediately, if

State Vs. Kamlesh FIR No. 146/2013 PS Anand Parbat U/s. 302/307/323/324/34 IPC

28.08.2020

of applicant/accused Kamlesh for grant of bail. This is an application moved U/s. 439 CrPC on behalf

Present: Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Dinesh Malik, Ld. Lelal Aid Counsel for applicant / accused.

This case is already fixed for judgment today. Put up for consideration on 07/09/2020.

State Vs. Raj Kumar @ Thoke Lal FIR No. 433/2016 PS Kirti Nagar U/s. 304/34 IPC

28.08.2020

of applicant/accused Raj Kumar @ Thoke Lal for grant of bail. This is an application moved U/s. 439 CrPC on behalf

Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

Sh. Sintu Kumar, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application already filed by IO.

case through Ld. Legal Aid Counsel is already stated to be pending consideration on 31/08/2020. Another application filed for this accused in the present

conduct report of the applicant/accused, on 31/08/2020 Put up with connected application, police report and jail

State Vs. Manuwar Hussain @ Mikki

FIR No. 59/2020

PS Kirti Nagar

U/s. 308/304/323/506/34 IPC

28.08.2020

of applicant/accused Manuwar Hussain @ Mikki for grant of regular bail. This is an application moved U/s. 439 CrPC on behalf

Present: Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

Sh. Ayub Ahmed Qureshi, Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused.

IO Inspector Surya Prakash, PS Kirti Nagar, is present.

that he wants to withdraw the present bail application. At this stage, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits

for bail is hereby dismissed as withdrawn. applicant/accused upon the application, the present bail application In view of statement of Ld. Counsel

The application is disposed of accordingly.

State Vs. Ganesh FIR No. 59/2020 PS Kirti Nagar U/s. 304/308/323/506/34 IPC

28.08.2020

of applicant / accused Ganesh for grant of interim bail. This is an application moved U/s. 439 CrPC on behalf

Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

Sh. Vineet Jain, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

and files reply to the bail application. Reply perused 10 Inspector Surya Prakash, PS Kirti Nagar, is present

from both sides Arguments heard on application for grant of interim bail

arrest because of which the court has issued NBWs against them. him. Two co-accused persons are still absconding and are evading arrested on 24/02/2020 for the offence U/s. 304/308/323/506/34 IPC has to undergo gall bladder surgery on 29/08/2020. Accused has been He is the main accused and FIR has been registered by name against Accused seeks interim bail on the ground that his wife

Contd..2..



- criminal, being previously involved in six cases since the year 2005, Puri, U/s. 392/411/34 IPC. including in the cases of attempt to murder, theft, robbery and Excise Act. He is a previous convict in case FIR No. 702/2005, PS Sultan 10 has reported that applicant/accused is a desperate
- peaceful behaviour if granted interim bail. offence in present case, he cannot be expected to maintain good and attempt to murder, as well as involvement in commission of heinous the accused of involvement in wide ranging cases including case of Considering the long standing criminal antecedents
- interim bail to applicant/accused is hereby dismissed. critical and does not require long stay in hospital. Considering all the and circumstances of the case, the application for grant of The nature of surgery of wife is neither urgent nor

The application is disposed of accordingly.

Counsel for applicant/accused, as prayed for. Copy of this order be given dasti to the 1O and to Ld.

State Vs. Naresh Kumar FIR No. 410/2020 PS Maya Puri U/s. 308 IPC

28.08.2020

on behalf of applicant / accused Naresh Kumar for grant of bail. This is the second application moved U/s. 439 CrPC

Present: Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

Sh. Ram Chander, father of applicant/accused. Sh. Nepal Singh, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

applicant/accused. FIR was registered at the complaint of Sh. Ram Chander, father of per MLC, injured Smt. Lado Devi sustained grievous head injury. The injured, on 07/07/2020. Accused was drunk at the time of incident. As mother from third floor, that hit on her head and she was badly Applicant/accused had allegedly thrown brick on his

times beat them too. and his wife to give him money for liquor, abuse them and some replied that the applicant/accused often gets heavily drunk, forces him Upon query put to the complainant by the Court in open Court, he has Court and states that bail may be granted to the applicant/accused. Today complaint Sh. Ram Chander is present in the Contd..2.



State Vs. Naresh Kumar FIR No. 410/2020 PS Maya Puri

dismissed. Accordingly, the second bail application of applicant/accused is also an imminent safety hazard to the complainant and his injured wife. the applicant/accused. The grant of bail to applicant/accused can be pressurized to state in the Court that he is in favour of grant of bail to The court is of the view that complainant has been

The application is disposed of accordingly.

applicant/accused, as prayed for. Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for

State Vs. 1. Simran Duggal, 2. Sheel Duggal,

3. Manoj Duggal, 4. Jasbir Kaur Duggal &

Arjun Duggal

FIR No. Not Known

PS CAW Cell West

U/s 498A/406/34 IPC

28.08.2020

Duggal for grant of anticipatory bail. Duggal, 3. Manoj Duggal, 4. Jasbir Kaur Duggal & 5. Arjun CrPC on behalf of applicant / accused 1. Simran Duggal, 2. Sheel This order shall deal with the applications moved U/s. 438

Present: Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

persons. Sh. Mukesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for applicants/accused

Sh. Surender Singh and Ms. Ravinder Kaur, Ld. Counsels for complainant.

27/08/2020, U/s. 498A/406/34 IPC. The FIR in this case is stated to have been registered on

and to file report on 29/08/2020. Issue notice of the application to IO to appear in person

State Vs. Rakesh FIR No. 15513/2020 PS Nihal Vihar U/s. 380/411/34 IPC

28.08.2020

of applicant / accused Rakesh for grant of anticipatory bail. This is an application moved U/s. 438 CrPC on behalf

Sh. Jitendra Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State

Sh. Pankaj Verma, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

IO HC Pradeep Kumar is present and has files report

08/08/2020 released by police after three days of unlawful detention police station, unlawfully detained and beaten him. Accused was on 05/08/2020 police officials of PS Nihal Vihar illegally took him to Accused has sought anticipatory bail on the ground that

wanted suspect in the present case 318/2019, PS Nihal Vihar, U/s. 379/411/34 IPC. Moreover, he is a This accused is stated to be a proclaimed offender in case FIR No. behalf of family of applicant/accused from 05/08/2020 to 08/08/2020. No complaint of alleged illegal detention was filed on

Contd.

--2--

application is completely devoid of merits and is dismissed. 25 Arms Act and another case FIR No. 280/2018, PS Paschim Vihar, 573/2014, PS Paschim Vihar, U/s. 394/397/411/34 IPC and Section U/s. 380/457/34 IPC, in which he is stated to be on bail. The present This accused was previously involved in case FIR No.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

applicant/accused, as prayed for. Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for

IN THE COURT OF SH. VISHAL SINGH, ASJ-03 (WEST), TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

State Vs Arif @ Shokin FIR No. 202/2018 PS Tilak Nagar U/s. 392/397/411/120B/34 IPC & Sec 25/27/54/59 Arms Act

28,08,2020

Present:

Sh. Jitender Sharma, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Praveen Dabas, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused

has appeared through video conferencing through Cisco

Webex platform, at personal room of the court.

Arguments heard on the interim bail application.

This is an application moved on behalf of accused/applicant Arif @ Shokin for extension of interim bail in view of COVID19 pandemic.

This accused was granted interim bail of 30 days vide order dated 06.07.2020 which was extended till 24.08.2020 vide order dated 11.08.2020 as he was released from jail on 24.07.2020.

This accused has previous criminal history of involvement in three heinous offences. For this reason, the case of

Contd..2..



State Vs Arif @ Shokin
FIR No. 202/2018
PS Tilak Nagar
U/s. 392/397/411/120B/34 IPC & Sec 25/27/54/59 Arms Act

-2-

accused Arif @ Shokin was not covered by Minutes of Meeting of Hon'ble High Powered Committee of Delhi High Court.

I have gone through the application for extension of interim bail. However, no ground is made out for extension of interim bail. Hence, the application is dismissed.

Applicant/accused Arif @ Shokin shall surrender to the Jail Authority latest by 01.09.2020, upon failure of which, NBW shall be issued against him.

Copy of the order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused, as prayed for.