Azad Khan @ Salman Umesh @ Nonu Vs. State

FIR No.

: 267/2015

PS

: Nihal Vihar

U/s

: 392/34 IPC

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused submits that Trial Court is holding Court today and in this case warrants were issued against the accused and for this reason only accused is languishing in jail. Accordingly, let this application be placed before Sh. Pooran Chand, Ld. ASJ, today itself at 12 PM.

(ANKUR JAIN)

2910/18 C.C. No.

Saravjit Singh Vs. Sampatti Developer.

P.S.: Hari Nagar U/s: 138 N.I. Act

28.05.2020

The hearing of the present application took place through CISCO webex meeting App.

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Ujjwal Puri, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused(through VC).

File has been received from the court of Ms. Neha Pandey, Ld. MM.

Notice to the complainant has not been issued.

notice be issued to the complainant for Let 03.06.2020.

At this stage Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused submits that he wishes to withdraw the revision petition. He is directed to send an E-mail in this regard.

C.C. No. 13192/18

Kanwaljeet Kaur Vs. Shanti Swaroop Satija

P.S.: Hari Nagar U/s: 138 N.I. Act

28.05.2020

The hearing of the present application took place through CISCO webex meeting App.

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Ujjwal Puri, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused(through VC).

File has been received from the court of Ms. Neha Pandey, Ld. MM.

Notice to the complainant has not been issued.

Let notice be issued to the complainant for 03.06.2020.

At this stage Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused submits that he wishes to withdraw the revision petition. He is directed to send an E-mail in this regard.

FIR No. 92/10

State Vs. K.K. Maheshwari

P.S.: Nihal Vihar

U/s: 279/337/304A/302/34 IPC

28.05.2020

The hearing of the present application took place through CISCO webex meeting App.

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Sahil Malik, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused (through VC).

Reply on behalf of the IO filed. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused submits that he is seeking interim bail. However, in terms of the minutes of meeting dated 18.05.2020 of High Power Commission of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi let the present application be placed before the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ for *01.06.2020*.

Character Certificate of the accused is not on record. Let the same be called from Jail Superintendent for the said date.

FIR No: 706/2019

PS: Nihal Vihar

STATE VS. Pawan Kumar

U/s 498A/304B/34 IPC

28.05.2020

Present: Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Ld. Counsel for applicant/

accused.

After hearing arguments Ld. Counsel for accused seeks liberty to withdraw the present bail application. Statement of the Ld. Counsel for the accused is recorded separately. In view of the statement the present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn. Copy of order be given Dasti to the Ld. Counsel for accused.

(ANKUR JAIN)

FIR No: 706/2019

PS: Nihal Vihar

STATE VS. Pawan Kumar U/s 498A/304B/34 IPC

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Ld. Counsel for accused, Enrollment no. D/1395/2006.

Without Oath

I may be permitted to withdraw the present bail application.

RO&AC

(ANKUR JAIN)

ASJ(Special Fast Track Court)-01 West, THC, Delhi/28.05.2020

Sander Chearing 2009

FIR No. 101/2019

State Vs. Dharm Chand Paswan @ Ram Parvesh

P.S.: Kirti Nagar

U/s: 313/342/376 (2)(3)/506 IPC and 6 POCSO Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Rahul Baisla, Ld. Counsel for the

applicant/accused.

SI Asha from PS Kirti Nagar.

Report filed. IO submits that she had send the copy of the order dated 20.05.2020 to the father of the complainant. A whats app print out has been placed on record.

Ld. Counsel for the accused submits that the victim has turned hostile during trial.

On the other hand Ld. Addl. PP for the State submits that there are scientific investigation which proves the commission of the offence.

Arguments heard.

At this stage Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present application.

Statement of the Ld. Counsel for the accused/ applicant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the statement of the Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant the present application is dismissed as withdrawn.

FIR No. 101/2019

State Vs. Dharm Chand Paswan

P.S.: Kirti Nagar

U/s: 313/342/376 (2)(3)/506 IPC and 6 POCSO Act

28.05.2020

Statement of Sh. Rahul Baisla, Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant, Enrollment No. D 1259/2013.

At Bar.

I am the counsel for the applicant/accused. I wish to withdraw the present application. The same may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file the fresh application.

R.O. & A.C.

(Ankur Jain)

ASJ, (SFTC-01), THC(West), Delhi

28.05.2020

FIR No: 182/2020

PS: Nihal Vihar

STATE VS. Tejinder Singh

U/s 392/397/411/34 IPC & 25/54/59 Arms Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. V. P. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

After hearing arguments Ld. Counsel for accused seeks liberty to withdraw the present bail application. Statement of the Ld. Counsel for the accused is recorded separately. In view of the statement the present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn. Copy of order be given Dasti to the Ld. Counsel for accused.

(ANKUR JAIN)

FIR No : 182/2020 PS: Nihal Vihar STATE VS. Tejinder Singh U/s 392/397/411/34 IPC & 25/54/59 Arms Act

Mr. V. P. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for accused, Enrollment no. D/648-H/2007.

Without Oath

I may be permitted to withdraw the present bail application.

RO&AC

(ANKUR VAIN

ASJ(Special Fast Track Court)-01 West, THC, Delhi 28.05.2020

10.05.202 MOL Corroll-20182201

Pankaj Verma @ Paylet Vs. State

FIR No.

: 648/2017

PS

: Ranhola

U/s

: 302/34 IPC

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Arun Yadav, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused submits at bar that he is seeking interim bail in view of the guidelines of the minutes dated 18.05.2020 of the High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Reply has also not been received from IO. Neither the application is accompanied by the character certificate nor previous involvement report.

Let this application be placed before the designated Court Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ on 01.06.2020.

(ANKUR JAIN)

Rohit Lala Vs. State

FIR No.

: 648/2017

PS

: Ranhola

U/s

: 302/34 IPC

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Arun Yadav, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused submits at bar that he is seeking interim bail in view of the guidelines of the minutes dated 18.05.2020 of the High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Reply has also not been received from IO. Neither the application is accompanied by the character certificate nor previous involvement report.

Let this application be placed before the designated Court Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ on 01.06.2020.

(ANKUR JAIN)

Vijay Vs.

State

FIR No.

: 568/2018

PS

: Nihal Vihar

U/s

: 302/34 IPC & 25 Arms Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Bhushan

Ld. Counsel for

Mr. Indu Bhus applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused submits at bar that he is seeking interim bail in view of the guidelines of the minutes dated 18.05.2020 of the High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Vimal,

Let this application be placed before the designated Court Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ on 30.05.2020.

(ANKUR YAIN)

Bail Application No. 118/20 FIR No. 03/20 State Vs. Madhu Bala P.S. Ranhola U/s 420/498/471 IPC

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

None for applicant/accused.

Put up for consideration on 29.06.2020.

(ANKUR MAIN)

Manoj Mehta Vs. State

FIR No.

: 117/2017

PS

: Nihal Vihar

U/s

: 302/201 IPC

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Indu Bhushan Vimal, Ld. Counsel for

applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused submits at bar that he is seeking interim bail in view of the guidelines of the minutes dated 18.05.2020 of the High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Let this application be placed before the designated Court Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ on 30.05.2020.

(ANKUR JAIN)

Prince @ Daulat Vs. State

FIR No.

: 568/2018

PS

: Nihal Vihar

U/s

: 302/34 IPC & 25/54/59 Arms Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Indu Bhushan Vimal, Ld. Counsel for

applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused submits at bar that he is seeking interim bail in view of the guidelines of the minutes dated 18.05.2020 of the High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Let this application be placed before the designated Court Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ on 30.05.2020.

(ANKUR JAIN)
ASJ(Special Fast Track Court)-01

West, THC, Delhi/28.05.2020

Sunil Pal @ Sonu @ Bacha Vs. State

FIR No.

: 854/2016

PS

: Nihal Vihar

U/s

: 302/120B/506/34 IPC

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Indu Bhushan Vimal, Ld. Counsel for

applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused submits at bar that he is seeking interim bail in view of the guidelines of the minutes dated 18.05.2020 of the High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Let this application be placed before the designated Court Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ on 30.05.2020.

(ANKUR JAIN)

FIR No. 1037/15 State Vs. Suraj

P.S.: Ranhola

U/s: 363/376D/506/120B/34 IPC & Section 6 of POCSO Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Mahesh Prashad, Ld. Counsel for the

applicant/accused.

Notice to the complainant not received back either executed or not executed.

Let fresh notice be issued to the complainant through IO for 05.06.2020.

> ASJ, (SFTC-01), THC(West), Delhi 28.05.2020

FIR No. 353/2017

State Vs. Himanshu Tyagi @ Hunny

P.S.: Mundka

U/s: 307/34 IPC and 25/27 Arms Act

28.05.2020

Statement of Sh. B.P. Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant, Enrollment No. D 2733/2006.

At Bar.

I am the counsel for the applicant/accused. I wish to withdraw the present application. The same may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file the fresh application.

R.O. & A.C.

(Ankur Jain)

ASJ, (SFTC-01), THC(West), Delhi

28.05.2020

FIR No. 353/2017

State Vs. Himanshu Tyagi @ Hunny

P.S.: Mundka

U/s: 307/34 IPC and 25/27 Arms Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. B.P. Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the

applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant seeks to withdraw the present bail application.

Statement of the Ld. Counsel has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the statement of the Ld. Counsel for the accused/application the present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn.

ASJ, (SFTC-01), THC(West), Delhi

FIR No. 463/18 State Vs. Sudhir & Anr.

P.S.: Nihal Vihar

U/s: 304B/498A/34 IPC

28.05.2020

Statement of Sh. Ramesh Chander, Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant, Enrollment No. D759/2002.

At Bar.

I am the counsel for the applicant/accused. I wish to withdraw the present application. The same may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file the fresh application.

R.O. & A.C.

(Ankur Jain) ASJ, (SFTC-01), THC(West), Delhi 28.05.2020

28/5/2020

FIR No. 463/18

State Vs. Sudhir & Anr.

P.S.: Nihal Vihar

U/s: 304B/498A/34 IPC

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Ramesh Chander, Ld. Counsel for the

applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant seeks to withdraw the present bail application.

Statement of the Ld. Counsel has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the statement of the Ld. Counsel for the accused/application the present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn.

FIR No. 149/19 State Vs. Aman P.S.: Anand Parbat

U/s: 354(B)/354(D)/506 IPC r/w Section 12 POCSO Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

None for the applicant/accused.

This is a 2nd bail application filed on behalf of the accused. However, notice to complainant is not issued.

Let notice to the complainant be issued for 08.06.2020.

FIR No. : 146/2013

State Vs. Sunil Kumar & Ors.

P.S.: Anand Parbat

U/s: 302/307/323/324/34 IPC R/w Section 25/54/59 Arms

Act

28.05.2020

The hearing of the present application took place through CISCO webex meeting App.

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Praveen Dabas, Ld. Counsel for the

applicant/accused (through VC).

No report has been received from jail. Reply on However, in terms of the minutes of behalf of the IO filed. meeting dated 18.05.2020 of High Power Commission of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi let the present application be placed before the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ for 30.05.2020.

Let the jail conduct of the accused be called from the Jail Superintendent for the said date.

FIR No. 92/10

State Vs. Vidya Sagar & Ors.

P.S.: Nihal Vihar

U/s: 302/120B/34 IPC r/w Section 25/54/59 Arms Act

28.05.2020

The hearing of the present application took place through CISCO webex meeting App.

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Praveen Dabas, Ld. Counsel for the

applicant/accused (through VC).

Report from jail received. Reply on behalf of the IO filed. However, in terms of the minutes of meeting dated 18.05.2020 of High Power Commission of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi let the present application be placed before the court of Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ for 29.05.2020.

(Ankur Jain)

ASJ, (SFTC-01), THC(West), Delhi

28.05.2020

Babloo Singh Vs. State

FIR No.

: 839/18

PS

: Nihal Vihar

U/s

: 302 IPC 25/27 Arms Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Dharmender Sharma, Ld. Counsel for

applicant/accused through CISCO Webex.

Arguments heard.

Put up for orders.

(ANKUR JAIN)

ASJ(Special Fast Track Court)-01 West, THC, Delhi/28.05.2020

12:30 PM

Ld. Counsel for accused submits that accused be granted interim bail as he is in judicial custody since 26.06.2019. He submits that father of the accused is not keeping well and is admitted in a hospital in Faridabad.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for State has opposed the bail application.

I have heard Ld. Counsel for accused as well as Ld. Addl. P.P. for State.

The facts in brief are that on 29.12.2018 vide DD no.5A a call was received which was entrusted to SI Hima who went to the spot and found that a male dead body having gun shot injury was lying. Accordingly an FIR was registered U/s 302 IPC. During the course of investigation statement of witnesses were recorded and it was revealed that applicant and the deceased had a quarrel in front of Uday Vihar and applicant shot the deceased. The entire incident was captured in CCTV installed near the place of incident. Accused was evading his arrest according a reward of Rs. 25,000/- was announced. Accused was arrested.

The allegations against the accused are serious in nature. The applicant has not filed any medical document to support the submission of the counsel which could show that father of the applicant is hospitalized. The documents which are being filed are only pathological reports of the father of the applicant which also do not show any serious condition which requires the applicant to be admitted on interim bail.

Considering the entire facts and circumstances and gravity of offence it is found that accused is not entitled for bail at this stage. Hence the present bail application stands dismissed.

Copy of order be sent to Ld. Counsel for accused through e- mail.

FIR No. 415/2016

State Vs. Ravider @ Sabi

P.S.: Nihal Vihar

U/s: 302/195A/34 IPC and 25/54/59 of Arms Act

28.05.2020

The hearing of the present application took place through CISCO webex meeting App.

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Pradeep Kumar Pathak, Ld. Counsel for the

applicant/accused (through VC).

Arguments heard. Put up for orders.

(Ankur Jain) ASJ, (SFTC-01), THC(West), Delhi 28.05.2020

At 12:45 pm.

ORDER:-

Ld. Counsel for the accused has argued that how the public witness have been examined and their testimony is shaky, moreover, they are in nature of heresay evidence.

On the other hand Ld. Addl. PP for the State has opposed the bail application.

The brief facts of the case are that on the statement of Rahul the present case was registered who stated that he runs a mobile repairing shop and on 30.05.2015 he head a noise outside his shop and when he came out he found one person namely Rahul lying on the road in injured condition. He took the injured to the hospital where injured told him that the person who had shot him are none else but the once who had earlier attacked one Mohit. Mohit also came to the hospital and injured Rahul also narrated the same facts. Injured later on succumbed to his injuries and the present FIR was registered.

The allegations against the accused are serious in nature. As per the report of the IO accused Ravinder @ Sabi is involved in one more case, thus, at this stage applicant does not deserve any leniency. The arguments that the testimony of the witnesses are shaky and there are number of contradictions and improvements cannot be appreciated because testimony of witnesses have not been filed with the bail application. Thus the applicant is not entitled to any relief. The bail application stands dismissed.

Afsar Vs. State

FIR No.

: 96/10

PS

: Mayapuri

U/s

: 392/397/34 IPC r/w 25/27 Arms Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Shubham Gupta, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

This is an application seeking intimation to Jail Authority that accused is on bail in the above said FIR. The file is pending trial before the Court of Sh. Vishal Singh which is summoned for today itself. File perused.

It shows that accused Afsar is being produced from JC was never on bail in this case. Order dated 10.01.2020 records that bail has been granted but bond have not been furnished. Under these circumstances no order are called for in the present application. Same is accordingly dismissed. File be sent back to the Court concerned.

(ANKUR JAIN)
ASJ(Special Fast Track Court)-01

West, THC, Delhi/28.05.2020

FIR No :259 /19 PS:Nihal Vihar STATE VS. Lalit

U/s 395/397/120B IPC & 25 Arms Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Shivam Sharma, Ld. Counsel for applicant/

accused.

After hearing arguments Ld. Counsel for accused seeks liberty to withdraw the present bail application. Statement of the Ld. Counsel for the accused is recorded separately. In view of the statement the present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn. Copy of order be given Dasti to the Ld. Counsel for accused.

(ANKUŔ JAIN)

FIR No :259 /19 PS:Nihal Vihar STATE VS. Lalit U/s 395/397/120B IPC & 25 Arms Act

Mr. shivam Sharma, Ld. Counsel for accused, Enrollment no. D/3801/2017.

Without Oath

I may be permitted to withdraw the present bail application.

RO&AC

(ANKŲŘ JAIN)

ASJ(Special Fast Frack Court)-01

West, THC, Delhi/28.05.2020

Shivam Sharma D. 13801 | 17

FIR No :259 /19
PS:Nihal Vihar
STATE VS. Sheetal
U/s 395/397/120B IPC & 25 Arms Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Shivam Sharma, Ld. Counsel for applicant/

accused.

After hearing arguments Ld. Counsel for accused seeks liberty to withdraw the present bail application. Statement of the Ld. Counsel for the accused is recorded separately. In view of the statement the present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn. Copy of order be given Dasti to the Ld. Counsel for accused.

(ANKUR JAIN)

FIR No :259 /19
PS:Nihal Vihar
STATE VS. Sheetal
U/s 395/397/120B IPC & 25 Arms Act

Mr. shivam Sharma, Ld. Counsel for accused, Enrollment no. D/3801/2017.

Without Oath

I may be permitted to withdraw the present bail application.

RO&AC

(ANKUR JAIN)

ASJ(Special Fast Track Court)-01 West, THC, Delhi/28.05.2020

Shivam Sharrer D/3801/17

Krishan Kumar Vs. State

FIR No.

: 598/19

PS

: Ranhola

U/s

: 376 IPC & Sec 6 POCSO Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Ravinder Kumar, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused

through CISCO Webex.

IO in person.

IO submits that copy of the notice was served to the mother of complainant despite that complainant is not present. She is directed to ensure the presence of the complainant / parent of the victim. Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused submits that medical condition of the accused is not good. Let a report be called from Jail Superintendent.

Put up on 02.06.2020.

ASJ(Special Fast Track Court)-01

West, THC, Delhi/28.05.2020

Rakesh Vs. State

FIR No.

: 92/10

PS

: Nihal Vihar

U/s

: 302/120B/ 34 IPC

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Puneet Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused

through CISCO Webex.

Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused submits at bar that he is seeking interim bail in view of the guidelines of the minutes dated 18.05.2020 of the High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Let this application be placed before the designated Court Sh. Vishal Singh, Ld. ASJ on 29.05,2020.

(ANKUR JAIN)

Jitender @ Bata & Anr. Vs. State

FIR No.

: 145/19

PS

: Mundka

U/s

: 365/394/397/34 IPC

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Hari Kishan, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused

through CISCO Webex.

Reply filed. Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused submits that complainant has turned hostile in the main case. Let file be summoned for NDOH.

Ld. Counsel for accused submits that he has not received copy of the report filed on behalf of IO today. Let the same be sent through electronic mode.

Put up on 02.06.2020.

(ANKUR JAIN)

C.C. No. 6430/17

Navin Kumar Vs. Sampati Developer

P.S.: Hari Nagar U/s: 138 N.I. Act

28.05.2020

The hearing of the present application took place through CISCO webex meeting App.

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Ujjwal Puri, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused(through VC).

File has been received from the court of Ms. Neha Pandey, Ld. MM.

Notice to the complainant has not been issued.

Let notice be issued to the complainant for 03.06.2020.

At this stage Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused submits that he wishes to withdraw the revision petition. He is directed to send an E-mail in this regard.

Ravi @ Parveen Vs. State

FIR No.

: 586/18

PS

: Nihal Vihar

U/s

: 302/34 IPC

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Mr. Deepak Sharma, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused

through CISCO Webex.

This is fresh bail application filed on behalf of accused Ravi @ Parveen seeking interim bail. Let notice of the application be issued to IO. IO is directed to verify the documents of the applicant. Report be called from Jail Superintendent regarding medical condition of the accused.

Put up on 03.06.2020.

(ANKUR JAIN)

FIR No.: 0081/18 Resham Vs. State P.S.: Nihal Vihar

U/s: 363/328/376/376D/34/120B & 6 POCSO Act

28.05.2020

The hearing of the present application took place through CISCO webex meeting App.

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Arjun Mehta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused (through VC).

Reply of the bail application has been received.

However, notice to complainant has not been issued.

IO is directed to issue notice to the complainant and ensure her presence.

Put up for further proceedings on <u>02.06.2020</u>.

Ajay Kumar Kashyap & Anr. Vs. State

FIR No.

: 799/2019

PS

: Nihal Vihar

U/s

: 363/366/366A/376/506/109/120B IPC, 21 POCSO

Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Ms. Ekta, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused through

CISCO Webex.

Reply on behalf of IO filed. Perusal of bail application shows that section 21 of POCSO Act has been invoked. Notice be issued to the complainant. IO is directed to ensure the presence of complainant on NDOH.

Put up on 04.06.2020.

(ANKUR JAIN)

Bail application no. 396

Ajay Kumar Kashyap & Anr. Vs. State

FIR No.

: 799/2019

PS

: Nihal Vihar

U/s

: 363/366/366A/376/506/109/120B IPC, 21 POCSO

Act

28.05.2020

Present:

Ms. Nimmi Sisodia, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Ms. Ekta, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused through

CISCO Webex.

Reply on behalf of IO filed. Perusal of bail application shows that section 21 of POCSO Act has been invoked. Notice be issued to the complainant. IO is directed to ensure the presence of complainant on NDOH.

Put up on 04.06.2020.

(ANKUR JAIN)