FIR No. 68/2020 PS Anand Parbat U/s 188 IPC

06.08.2020

Fresh charge sheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State through VC.

IO HC Ashok Kumar Meena in person.

Put up for before concerned court on 07.08.2020.

FIR No. 130/2019 PS Anand Parbat U/s 323/341/34 IPC

06.08.2020

Fresh charge sheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State through VC.

IO HC Ashok Kumar Meena in person.

Put up for before concerned court on 07.08.2020.

FIR No. 98/2019 PS Anand Parbat U/s 287/338 IPC

06.08.2020

Fresh charge sheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State through VC.

IO HC Ashok Kumar Meena in person.

Put up for before concerned courtyon 07.08.2020.



FIR No. 278/2018 PS Anand Parbat U/s 323/324/341/34 IPC

06.08.2020

Fresh charge sheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State through VC.

IO ASI Rajesh in person.

Put up for before concerned court on 10.08.2020.



FIR No. 243/2019 **PS Anand Parbat** U/s 279 IPC & 185 MV Act

06.08.2020

Fresh charge sheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Present: Ld. APP for the State through VC.

IO ASI Rajesh in person.

Put up for before concerned court 9η , 10.08.2020.

(Sonam Gupta) Duty MM, West/THC/Delhi

FIR No. 798/2020 PS : Nihal Vihar U/S 387/34 IPC

06.08.2020

This is an application for bail U/s 437 Cr.P.C. of accused Amit

Kumar.

Ld. APP for the state. Present:-

Sh.Sumeet Singh Shokeen, Ld Counsel for the accused through VC.

An application U/s 437 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of accused wherein it is stated that he has been falsely implicated in the present case and is stated to be in JC since 01.08.2020 and he has no role in the alleged offence and thus, it is prayed that the accused be enlarged on bail.

Report filed by the IO. As per the report investigation is at initial stage.

Heard. Perused.

As per the report of IO, the investigation of the case is at initial stage. There are chances of his fleeing from the justice and influencing the witnesses. Thus, this Court is not inclined to grant the benefit of bail to the accused at this stage. Accordingly, application stands dismissed.

Copy of this order be sent to the Ld. Counsel for the accused through e-mail/whatsapp.

> (SONAM QUPTA) Duty MM, West, THÓ, Delhi 06.08.2020

Present:

Ld. APP for the State. Sh. Anil Kumar Sharma, Ld. LAC for accused Ajay.

Argument heard on the bail application U/s 437 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of the accused. It is stated that the accused is in JC for the offence U/s 379/411/34 IPC. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused seeks interim bail in view of emergent conditions prevailing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Keeping in view the fact that the accused is in custody and considering the health emergency on account of COVID-19 outbreak, he is admitted to interim bail of 45 days on his personal bond to the satisfaction of jail Superintendent concerned, who shall ascertain from PS concerned as to whether the address furnished by the accused is verified or not.

Accused shall surrender before the Jail Authority concerned after expiry of interim bail for the period of 45 days.

Copy of this order be sent to jail Superintendent concerned, which shall also be treated as release warrant.

Copy of this order be also given dasti, as prayed for.

(Solnam Gupta) Duty MM/West/THC/Delhi

06.08.2020

oblelander Sent ropoil

Copy because,

FIR No.013667/2020 PS Khyala 06.08.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle bearing no. DL-5SAL-4043 on Superdari.

Present:- Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant/registered owner in person.

Reply has been received by IO.

Report perused. As per the report, IO has mentioned that above mentioned vehicle is lying with police and police has no objection if the same be released to the original owner in the present case.

Keeping in view the report of IO and law laid down by Hon'ble High Court Of Delhi in case titled as Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 and Crl. MA. No. 16055/2013 wherein interalia the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court Of Indiain case titled as Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat, (2002) 10SC 283 has been reiterated SHO/IO of concerned PS is directed as under:

- (i) to release the above mentioned vehicles to the registered owner subject to preparing detailed proper panchnama of above vehicle and after proper verification of the owner;
- (ii) take photographs of abovementioned vehicle and file the same alongwith charge-sheet;
- (iii) take security bond from applicant to indemnify the claimant, if any to the extent of value of aforesaid vehicle in future;
- (iv) to get panchnama and photographs of abovementioned vehicle attested and countersigned by complainant, accused as well by applicant/RO and IO;

Applicant is also directed not to dispose off the vehicle in question without prior permission of the Court.

Photograph charges shall be borne by the applicant/RO.

The IO shall not insist on payment of stamp duty in respect of the indemnity bond due to the prevailing situation on account of lockdown, however, the applicant is directed to furnish the stamp duty within 15 days from the date of re-opening of lockdown/courts to the IO and indemplify

bond shall contain an undertaking by the applicant to this effect. Application moved by applicant stands disposed off accordingly.

Order copy dasti to applicant as prayed.

(Sonar Gupta) Duty MM/West/THC/Delhi

FIR No.WD-MP-000179/2020 PS Maya Puri 06.08,2020

This is an application for releasing articles i.e mobile phone make I-Phone 11 on superdarl.

Present:

Ld. APP for State.

Applicant in person.

IO has filed his reply. Taken on record.

This Court is of the considered view that the articles has to be released as per directions of Hon'ble High Court in case titled as Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014 wherein it has been held that :-

"59. The valuable articles seized by the police may be released to the person, who, in the opinion of the Court, is lawfully entitled to claim such as the complainant at whose house theft, robbery or dacoity has taken place, after preparing detailed panchnama of such articles, taking photographs of such articles and a security bond.

60. The photographs of such articles should be attested or countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over. Whenever necessary, the court may get the jewellery articles valued from a government approved valuer.

61. The actual production of the valuable articles during the trial should not be insisted upon and the photographs along with the panchnama should suffice for the purposes of evidence.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, articles in question as per seizure memo i.e. mobile phone be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report. IO is directed to get done the valuation as well as photographs of the same prior to the releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble High Court.

Panchnama and photographs shall be filed in the court along with charge sheet.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

(Sonam Gupta)

Duty MM/West/THC/Delhi/d6.08.2020

This is an application for rejeasing vehicle bearing no. DL

1PD-5140 on Superdari.

Present:- Ld. APP for the State. Applicant/AR in person.

Reply has been received by IO.

Report perused. As per the report, IO has mentioned that above mentioned vehicle is lying with police and police has no objection if the same be released to the original owner in the present case.

Keeping in view the report of IO and law laid down by Hon'ble High Court Of Delhi in case titled as Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 and Crl. MA. No. 16055/2013 wherein interalia the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court Of Indiain case titled as Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat, (2002) 10SC 283 has been reiterated SHO/IO of concerned PS is directed as under:

- (i) to release the above mentioned vehicles to the rightful owner subject to preparing detailed proper panchnama of above vehicle and after proper verification of the owner;
- (ii) take photographs of abovementioned vehicle and file the
- same alongwith charge-sheet; (iii) take security bond from applicant to indemnify the claimant, if any to the extent of value of aforesaid vehicle in future;
- (iv) to get panchnama and photographs of abovementioned vehicle attested and countersigned by complainant, accused as well by applicant/RO and IO;

Applicant is also directed not to dispose off the vehicle in question without prior permission of the Court.

Photograph charges shall be borne by the applicant/RO.

The IO shall not insist on payment of stamp duty in respect of the indemnity bond due to the prevailing situation on account of lockdown, however, the applicant is directed to furnish the stamp duty within 15 days from the date of re-opening of lockdown/courts to the IO and indemnity

2-10

bond shall contain an undertaking by the applicant to this effect.

Application moved by applicant stands disposed off

accordingly.

Order copy dasti to applicant as prayed.

Atehy 6-7 066872020 (Sortage Gupta)
Duty MM/West/THC/Delhi
06.08.2020

laimant.

FIR No.0657/2020 PS Paschim Vihar West

This is an application for releasing articles i.e mobile phone make Realme on superdari.

Present:

Ld. APP for State.

Applicant in person.

IO has filed his reply. Taken on record.

This Court is of the considered view that the articles has to be released as per directions of Hon'ble High Court in case titled as Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014 wherein it has been held that :-

"59. The valuable articles seized by the police may be released to the person, who, in the opinion of the Court, is lawfully entitled to claim such as the complainant at whose house theft, robbery or dacoity has taken place, after preparing detailed panchnama of such articles, taking photographs of such articles and a security bond.

60. The photographs of such articles should be attested or countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over. Whenever necessary, the court may get the jewellery articles valued from a government approved valuer.

61. The actual production of the valuable articles during the trial should not be insisted upon and the photographs along with the panchnama should suffice for the purposes of evidence.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, articles in question as per seizure memo i.e. mobile phone be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report. IO is directed to get done the valuation as well as photographs of the same prior to the releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble High Court.

Panchnama and photographs shall be filed in the court along with charge sheet.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

(Sorlam Gupta)

Duty MM/West/THC/Delhi/06.08.2020

Meerco

FIR No.561/2020 PS Khyala 06.08.2020

This is an application for releasing Power Press Machine on Superdari.

Present:- Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant/rightful owner in person.

Reply has been received by IO.

Report perused. As per the report, IO has mentioned that above mentioned machine is lying with police and police has no objection if the same be released to the original owner in the present case.

Keeping in view the report of IO and law laid down by Hon'ble High Court Of Delhi in case titled as Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 and Crl. MA. No. 16055/2013 wherein interalia the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court Of Indiain case titled as Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat, (2002) 10SC 283 has been reiterated SHO/IO of concerned PS is directed as under:

- (i) to release the above mentioned machine to the rightful owner subject to preparing detailed proper panchnama of above vehicle and after proper verification of the owner;
- (ii) take photographs of abovementioned machine and file the same alongwith charge-sheet;
- (iii) take security bond from applicant to indemnify the claimant, if any to the extent of value of aforesaid machine in future;
- (iv) to get panchnama and photographs of abovementioned machine attested and countersigned by complainant, accused as well by applicant/RO and IO;

Applicant is also directed not to dispose off the machine in question without prior permission of the Court.

Photograph charges shall be borne by the applicant/RO.

The IO shall not insist on payment of stamp duty in respect of the indemnity bond due to the prevailing situation on account of lockdown, however, the applicant is directed to furnish the stamp duty within 15 days from the date of re-opening of lockdown/courts to the IO and indemnity



bond shall contain an undertaking by the applicant to this effect.

Application moved by applicant stands disposed off accordingly.

Order copy dasti to applicant as prayed.

(Sonam Gupta) Duty MM/West/THC/Delhi 06.08.2020

Dala le a te a to

FIR No.007182/2019 PS Hari Nagar 06.08,2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle bearing no. DL-9SBJ-0216 on Superdari.

Present:- Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant/AR in person.

Reply has been received by IO.

Report perused. As per the report, IO has mentioned that above mentioned vehicle is lying with police and police has no objection if the same be released to the original owner in the present case.

Keeping in view the report of IO and law laid down by Hon'ble High Court Of Delhi in case titled as Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 and Crl. MA. No. 16055/2013 wherein interalia the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court Of Indiain case titled as Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat, (2002) 10SC 283 has been reiterated SHO/IO of concerned PS is directed as under:

- (i) to release the above mentioned vehicles to the rightful owner subject to preparing detailed proper panchnama of above vehicle and after proper verification of the owner;
- (ii) take photographs of abovementioned vehicle and file the same alongwith charge-sheet;
- (iii) take security bond from applicant to indemnify the claimant, if any to the extent of value of aforesaid vehicle in future;
- (iv) to get panchnama and photographs of abovementioned vehicle attested and countersigned by complainant, accused as well by applicant/RO and IO;

Applicant is also directed not to dispose off the vehicle in question without prior permission of the Court.

Photograph charges shall be borne by the applicant/RO.

The IO shall not insist on payment of stamp duty in respect of the indemnity bond due to the prevailing situation on account of lockdown, however, the applicant is directed to furnish the stamp duty within 15 days from the date of re-opening of lockdown/courts to the IO and indemnity



bond shall contain an undertaking by the applicant to this effect.

Application moved by applicant stands disposed off accordingly.

Order copy dasti to applicant as prayed.

(Sdnam Gupta) Duty MM/West/THC/Delhi 06.08.2020

FIR No. 003135/2020 PS : Rajouri Garden U/S 379/411 IPC

This is an application for bail U/s 437 Cr.P.C. of accused Khalil 06.08.2020 @ Mass S/o Sh. Anil Kumar.

Present:- Ld. APP for the state.

Sh. R.K. Giri, Ld Counsel for the accused.

An application U/s 437 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of accused wherein it is stated that he has been falsely implicated in the present case and is stated to be in JC since 11.06.2020 and he has no role in the alleged offence and thus, it is prayed that the accused be enlarged on bail.

Report filed by the IO. As per the report recovery has already been effected in the present case.

Heard. Perused.

Considering the aforesaid as recovery has already been effected and no useful purpose shall be served by keeping the accused in custody, accused is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in the like amount.

Application is disposed off accordingly.

Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for the

accused.

(SONAM GUPTA) Duty MM, West, THC, Delhi 06.08.2020

FIR No.000502/2020 PS Paschim Vihar East

This is an application for releasing articles i.e mobile phone make Techno Spark on superdari.

Present:

Ld. APP for State.

Applicant in person.

IO has filed his reply. Taken on record.

This Court is of the considered view that the articles has to be released as per directions of Hon'ble High Court in case titled as Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014 wherein it has been held that :-

"59. The valuable articles seized by the police may be released to the person, who, in the opinion of the Court, is lawfully entitled to claim such as the complainant at whose house theft, robbery or dacoity has taken place, after preparing detailed panchnama of such articles, taking photographs of such articles and a security bond.

60. The photographs of such articles should be attested or countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over. Whenever necessary, the court may get the jewellery articles valued from a government approved valuer.

61. The actual production of the valuable articles during the trial should not be insisted upon and the photographs along with the panchnama should suffice for the purposes of evidence.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, articles in question as per seizure memo i.e. mobile phone be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report. IO is directed to get done the valuation as well as photographs of the same prior to the releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble High Court.

Panchnama and photographs shall be filed in the court along with charge sheet.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Duty MM/West/THC/Delhi/06.08.2020

omoritors as

FIR No.0833/2020 PS Nangloi 06.08.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle bearing no. HR-13P-9722 on Superdari.

Present:- Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant/registered owner in person.

Reply has been received by IO.

Report perused. As per the report, IO has mentioned that above mentioned vehicle is lying with police and police has no objection if the same be released to the original owner in the present case.

Keeping in view the report of IO and law laid down by Hon'ble High Court Of Delhi in case titled as Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 and Crl. MA. No. 16055/2013 wherein interalia the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court Of Indiain case titled as Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat, (2002) 10SC 283 has been reiterated SHO/IO of concerned PS is directed as under:

- (i) to release the above mentioned vehicles to the registered owner subject to preparing detailed proper panchnama of above vehicle and after proper verification of the owner;
- (ii) take photographs of abovementioned vehicle and file the same alongwith charge-sheet;
- (iii) take security bond from applicant to indemnify the claimant, if any to the extent of value of aforesaid vehicle in future;
- (iv) to get panchnama and photographs of abovementioned vehicle attested and countersigned by complainant, accused as well by

Applicant is also directed not to dispose off the vehicle in

Photograph charges shall be borne by the applicant/RO.

Applicant is also directed not to Applicant prior permission of the Court.

Photograph charges shall be '
The IO shall The IO shall not insist on payment of stamp duty in respect of the indemnity bond due to the prevailing situation on account of lockdown, however, the applicant is directed to furnish the stamp duty within 15 days from the date of re-opening of lockdown/courts to the IO and indemnity bond shall contain an undertaking by the applicant to this effect.

Application moved by applicant stands disposed off

accordingly.

Order copy dasti to applicant as prayed.

This is an application for releasing articles i.e mobile phone make ITEL on superdari.

Present:

Ld. APP for State.

Applicant in person.

IO has filed his reply. Taken on record.

This Court is of the considered view that the articles has to be released as per directions of Hon'ble High Court in case titled as Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014 wherein it has been held that :-

"59. The valuable articles seized by the police may be released to the person, who, in the opinion of the Court, is lawfully entitled to claim such as the complainant at whose house theft, robbery or dacoity has taken place, after preparing detailed panchnama of such articles, taking photographs of such articles and a security bond.

- 60. The photographs of such articles should be attested or countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over. Whenever necessary, the court may get the jewellery articles valued from a government approved valuer.
- 61. The actual production of the valuable articles during the trial should not be insisted upon and the photographs along with the panchnama should suffice for the purposes of evidence.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, articles in question as per seizure memo i.e. mobile phone be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report. IO is directed to get done the valuation as well as photographs of the same prior to the releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble High Court.

Panchnama and photographs shall be filed in the court along with charge sheet.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

(Spham Gupta)

Duty MM/West/THC/DelMi/06.08.2020

FIR No. 798/2020 PS : Nihal Vihar U/S 387/34 IPC

06.08.2020

This is an application for bail U/s 437 Cr.P.C. of accused Sumit

shokeen.

Sh. Pradeep Rana, Ld Counsel for the accused through VC. Present:- Ld. APP for the state.

An application U/s 437 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of accused wherein it is stated that he has been falsely implicated in the present case and is stated to be in JC since 01.08.2020 and he has no role in the alleged offence and thus, it is prayed that the accused be enlarged on bail.

Report filed by the IO. As per the report investigation is at initial stage.

As per the report of IO, the investigation of the case is at initial stage. There are chances of his fleeing from the justice and influencing the witnesses. Thus, this Court is not inclined to grant the benefit of bail to the accused at this stage. Accordingly, application stands dismissed.

Copy of this order be sent to the Ld. Counsel for the accused through e-mail/whatsapp.

Duty MM, West, THC, Delhi 06.08.2020

PS: Rajouri Garden

06.08.2020

This is an application seeking release of vehicle bearing no.DL-11SU-4967.

Present:- Ld. APP for the state.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

Reply filed by the IO is annexed with the application.

Heard. Perused.

As per reply, the present FIR pertains to PS Aman Vihar. On 02.08.2020, application moved on same grounds has already been disposed off as withdrawn.

Considering the same, present application stands dismissed being infructuous.

> (SONAM GUPTA) Duty MM, West, THC, Delhi 06.08.2020

DD No. 160A dated15.07.2020 PS: Nihal Vihar

06.08.2020

This is an application seeking release of accused Sanjay

Kumar.

Ld. APP for the state. Present:-

IO ASI Malkhan Singh in person.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused has filed the application stating therein that applicant/accused Sanjay Kumar was granted bail in case FIR No.554/2020, PS Mangol Puri U/s 379/411 IPC vide bail order dated 24.07.2020, however, the accused was not released from custody as it was reported by Tihar Jail that a DD no.168 U/s 102 Cr.P.C PS Nihal Vihar is pending disposal. It is reported by the IO that the said Kalandra could not be disposed off as the applicant/accused was arrested by PS

Application perused. The same is allowed. Accused Sanjay Mangol Puri. Kumar be released forthwith in compliance of order dated 24.07.2020 if not required in any other case.

Application stands disposed of.

Copy of the order be sent to Jail Superintendent concerned.

Duty MM, West, THO, Delhi 06.08.2020

Copy of order South of order

FIR No.465/2018 PS Nihal Vihar U/s 457/380/511 IPC 06.08.2020

Present:

Ld. APP for the State.

Sh. Anil Kumar Sharma, Ld. LAC for accused Rajinder @

Nanu.

Argument heard on the bail application U/s 437 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of the accused. It is stated that the accused is in JC for the offence U/s 457/380/511 IPC. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused seeks interim bail in view of emergent conditions prevailing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Keeping in view the fact that the accused is in custody and considering the health emergency on account of COVID-19 outbreak, he is admitted to interim bail of 45 days on his personal bond to the satisfaction of jail Superintendent concerned, who shall ascertain from PS concerned as to whether the address furnished by the accused is verified or not.

Accused shall surrender before the Jail Authority concerned after expiry of interim bail for the period of 45 days.

Copy of this order be sent to jail Superintendent concerned, which shall also be treated as release warrant. Copy of t

Copy of this order be also given dasti, as prayed for

(Sonath Gupt Duty MM/West/THC/De 06.08.20

Scanned with CamScanner

FIR No. 613/2020 PS: Paschim Vihar West U/S 380/411/34 IPC

This is an application seeking release of accused Sunny @ 06.08.2020 Rahul on personal bond.

Present:- Ld. APP for the state.

Sh. K.K. Singh, Ld LAC for the accused.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for accused that accused has already been granted bail vide order dated 18.07.2020, however, till now he could not arrange the surety due to financial difficulty. Thus, it is prayed that he may be released on personal bond.

Considering the same, the application stands allowed. Let the accused be released on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/to the satisfaction of the Jail Superintendent concerned.

Application is disposed off accordingly.

Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for the

accused.

Duty MM, West, THC, Delhi 06.08.2020

Cela a de la colo de l

FIR No. 030677/2019 PS : Hari Nagar

This is an application moved by applicant seeking rectification 06.08.2020 in the order of superdari passed by the Court on 31.07.2020.

Present:-

Sh. Armaan Bhola, Ld. Counsel for applicant. Ld. APP for the state.

It is stated by Ld counsel for applicant that vide order dated 31.07.2020, superdari application in the present case FIR was allowed by the Court, however, the number of vehicle has been wrongly mentioned in the order as UK-07DG-7374 instead of UK-07DG-7371.

For the reasons stated, the present application is allowed and vehicle bearing no. UK-07DG-7371 be released to the rightful owner in view of terms of order dated 31.07.2020.

Application stands disposed of.

Copy of this order be also given dasti, as prayed for.

Duty MM, West, THC, Delhi 06.08.2020

Copy Harind

How 36/13

FIR No. 000192/2020 PS : Hari Nagar U/S 379/411 IPC

Akhilesh.

06.08.2020 This is an application for bail U/s 437 Cr.P.C. of accused

Present:- Ld. APP for the state.

Sh. Almine, Ld Counsel for the accused.

An application U/s 437 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of accused wherein it is stated that he has been falsely implicated in the present case and is stated to be in JC since 15.07.2020 and he has no role in the alleged offence and thus, it is prayed that the accused be enlarged on bail.

Report filed by the IO. As per the report recovery has already been effected in the present case.

Heard. Perused.

Considering the aforesaid as recovery has already been effected and no useful purpose shall be served by keeping the accused in custody, accused is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in the like amount.

Application is disposed off accordingly.

Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for the

accused.

Duty MM, West, TH¢, Delhi 06.08.2020

FIR No.013765/2020 PS Paschim Vihar West 06.08 2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle bearing no. DL-HR-13P-9722 on Superdari.

Present:- Ld. APP for the State.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/registered owner through VC.

Reply has been received by IO.

Report perused. As per the report, IO has mentioned that above mentioned vehicle is lying with police and police has no objection if the same be released to the original owner in the present case.

Keeping in view the report of IO and law laid down by Hon'ble High Court Of Delhi in case titled as Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 and Crl. MA. No. 16055/2013 wherein interalia the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court Of Indiain case titled as Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat, (2002) 10SC 283 has been reiterated SHO/IO of concerned PS is directed as under:

- (i) to release the above mentioned vehicles to the registered owner subject to preparing detailed proper panchnama of above vehicle and after proper verification of the owner;
- (ii) take photographs of abovementioned vehicle and file the same alongwith charge-sheet;
- (iii) take security bond from applicant to indemnify the claimant, if any to the extent of value of aforesaid vehicle in future;
- (iv) to get panchnama and photographs of abovementioned vehicle attested and countersigned by complainant, accused as well by applicant/RO and IO;

Applicant is also directed not to dispose off the vehicle in question without prior permission of the Court.

Photograph charges shall be borne by the applicant/RO.

The IO shall not insist on payment of stamp duty in respect of the indemnity bond due to the prevailing situation on account of lockdown, however, the applicant is directed to furnish the stamp duty within 15 days from the date of re-opening of lockdown/courts to the IO and indemnity

bond shall contain an undertaking by the applicant to this effect.

Application moved by applicant stands disposed off

accordingly.

Order copy be sent to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant through

e-mail/whatsapp.

FIR No.787/2019 PS Nanglo U/s 457/380/411

Present:

Sh. Anii Kumar Sharma, Ld, LAC for accused Anii. Ld. APP for the State.

Argument heard on the ball application U/s 437 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of the accused. It is stated that the accused is in JC for the offence U/s 457/380/411/34 IPC. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused seeks interim. bail in view of emergent conditions prevailing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Keeping in view the fact that the accused is in custody and considering the health emergency on account of COVID-19 outbreak, he is admitted to interim bail of 45 days on his personal bond to the satisfaction of jail Superintendent concerned, who shall ascertain from PS concerned as to whether the address furnished by the accused is verified or not.

Accused shall surrender before the Jail Authority concerned

after expiry of interim bail for the period of 45 days.

Copy of this order be sent to jail Superintendent concerned,

which shall also be treated as release warrant.

Copy of this order be also given dasti, as prayed for.

copy c

Sept of second order

Copy c

FIR No. 460/2019 PS Tilak Nagar U/s 498A/406 IPC

06.08.2020

Fresh charge sheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State through VC.

IO ASI Shambhu Dayal in person.

Put up for consideration on 21.10.2020.

FIR No. 562/2019 PS Tilak Nagar U/s 498A/406/34 IPC

06.08.2020

Fresh charge sheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State through VC.

IO ASI Shambhu Dayal in person.

Put up for consideration on 22.10.2020.

FIR No. 494/2018 PS Tilak Nagar U/s 498A/406/34 IPC

06.08.2020

Fresh charge sheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State through VC.

IO ASI Shambhu Dayal in person.

Put up for consideration on 20.10.2020.