State V/s Anita FIR NO. 252/20 P.S. Sadar Bazar U/s 33/38/58 Delhi Excise Act

23.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

None has joined the meeting through vedio conferencing.

Present: Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

None on behalf of applicant has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

The present application has been filed on behalf of applicant Anita for releasing the vehicle i.e. scooty bearing registration No. DL 8S BX 7773 on superdari.

Reply to the present application has been filed by IO electronically. Copy of the same has also been sent to Ld. Counsel for applicant electronically.

Since the present matter has been registered u/s 33/38/58 Excise Act, the present application is not maintainable. Hence, the present application stands dismissed.

Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar Bazar and Ld. Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order be kept for records and be tagged with the final report.

ort.
SHIVLI TALWAR
TALWAR TALWAR
15471-123
(SHIVLI TALWAR)

MM-06(C)/THC/Delhi/23.11.2020

State V/s Sunny @ Haqla @ Upesh e-FIR No. 490/20 P.S. Sadar Bazar U/S 379/411/34 IPC

23.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

## Joined through Video conferencing.

This is the third bail application U/s 437 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf of applicant/accused Sunny @ Haqla @ Upesh s/o Sh. Jagdish.

Present:

Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

Mr. Parveen Garg, ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has joined meeting through Cisco Webex.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that nothing material has been recovered from the possession or at the instance of the accused and the recovery has been planted by the police officials. It is further submitted that co-accused, Jitender @ Naresh has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 14.10.2020. It is further submitted that accused is not a previous convict in any criminal case. It is further submitted that the accused is in J/C since 06.10.2020 and investigation qua him is already complete. Therefore, it has been prayed that applicant/accused be released on bail.

Reply of IO has been filed electronically. Copy of same has been sent to Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused electronically. Perusal of reply shows that Rs. 20,000/- were recovered from the possession of accused and the accused was arrested on the spot during investigation. It is stated that the accused may abscond and commit similar type of offences again, if enlarged on bail.

Ld. APP for the State has vehemently opposed the bail application on the ground that the recovery of Rs. 20,000/- has been made from the accused. It is further submitted that the accused is a habitual offender and involved in several other cases. It is

Contd:-

submitted that the accused is likely to commit similar type of offences, if released on bail.

Heard. Perused. Recovery has been effected from the applicant/accused and he is a habitual offender and involved in more than 30 cases. Thus, considering the past antecedents of the applicant/ accused, there is propensity to commit the crime again if accused is released on bail. Thus, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant/ accused and the present bail application is hereby rejected.

Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar Bazar and Ld. Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order be kept for records and be tagged with the final report.

TALWAR Date: 2020 1 SHIVLI TALWAR)

MM-06(C)/THC/Delhi/23.11.2020

State V/s Sahjad e-FIR NO. 015726/19 P.S. Sadar Bazar U/s 379/411/34 IPC

23.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

Joined through Video conferencing.

Present:

Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

Accused Sahjad has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex from

Meerut Jail.

IO HC Mithun Kumar has also joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

IO concerned has moved an application seeking 14 days judicial custody of the accused electronically on the ground that investigation of the case is still in progress and judicial custody of the accused is required to prevent him from committing any further offence, tampering with evidence and to ensure the presence of the accused before the Court. The application is taken on record.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

Considering the fact that the investigation is still continuing and the custody of the accused is necessary for proper investigation, the application is allowed and the accused is remanded to Judicial Custody till 07.12.2020.

Concerned Jail Superintendent is directed to ensure the presence of accused on the next date through V.C.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar Bazar. The printout of the application, reply and order be kept for records and be tagged with the final report.

| Digitally signed by SHIVLI | D

TALWAR Date: 2020,11,23 16:04:17 (SHIVLI TALWAR) + 0530

MM-06(C)/THC/Delhi/23.11.2020

FIR No. 294/20 P.S. Sadar Bazar

Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ U/S 356/379/411 IPC

Joined through Video conferencing. 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

applicant/accused Rahul @ Hemant @ Aman s/o Sh. Dilawar. The present application for grant of bail U/s 437 Cr.P.C. has been moved on behalf of

Present:

Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

through Cisco Webex. Mr. M.P.S. Kasana, Id. Counsel for applicant/accused has joined meeting

been prayed that applicant/accused be released on bail. complete and he is no more required for any custodial investigation. Therefore, it has submitted that the accused is in J/C since 15 days and investigation qua him is already the accused is a young boy aged 27 years and is not a previous convict. It is further by some other person but police arrested the accused instead. It is further submitted that planted by the police. It is submitted that the mobile phone of the complainant was stolen no recovery has been made from the possession of the accused and the recovery has been innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that accused

to Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused electronically. same. 19.11.2020 and another reply dated 23.11.2020. The contents of both the replies are the Both the replies have been filed electronically. Copies of the same have been sent O has filed two replies to the present bail application, one dated

type of cases recovered by the police. It is further stated that the accused is wanted in other similar handed on the spot and the mobile phone of the complainant snatched by the accused was Perusal of replies filed by the IO shows that the accused was caught red

accused was caught red handed on the spot and recovery was effected from him. It is further submitted that snatching by young boys is a huge menace in the area of Sadar Bazar and the accused may commit further offences of similar type, if enlarged on bail. Ld. APP for the State has opposed the bail application on the ground that the

purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind bars. Hence, accused Rahul @ antecedents of the accused are clean, recovery has already been effected and accused Rs. 10,000/- with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of ld. Duty MM as per Hemant @ Aman is admitted to bail subject to furnishing of personal bond in the sum of no more required for any custodial interrogation. I am of the considered view that no Heard. Perused. Considering the submissions and the circumstances that past

prevailing duty roster, subject to the following conditions:-That the accused person(s) shall join investigation as and when

- called. shall attend the Court as per
- conditions of bond to be executed. 12 That the accused person(s)
- 3. That the accused person(s) shall not commit similar offence and;
- with the facts of the case and also shall not tamper with the evidence give threat, or in any way dissuade the witnesses/persons acquainted That the accused person(s) shall not directly/indirectly induce

One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar Bazar and Ld. Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order

be kept for records and be tagged with the final report.

SHIVL TALWAR)

SHIVL TALWAR

TALWAR 2020.11.23
16.05:39 +0530

2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/

## Joined through Video conferencing.

applicant/accused Rahul @ Dinesh s/o Sh. Kanhaiya is the second bail application U/s 437 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf

Present: Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex

Mr. Rajendra Pratap Singh, ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has joined meeting through Cisco Webex.

innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the accused or at his antecedents already complete. Therefore, it has been prayed that applicant/accused be released on further submitted that the accused is in J/C since 20.10.2020 and investigation qua him is It is further submitted that the accused belongs to a respectable family, the past of the applicant/accused are clean and he is not a previous convict. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that accused

to Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused electronically. Perusal of the same reveals that property (two iron rods [gatter]) was recovered from the possession of the accused. It is stated that the accused played an active role in the commission of the offence and he is previously involved in criminal cases. accused was arrested at the instance of his co-accused, Vicky @ Punjabi and case Reply of IO has been filed electronically. Copy of the same has been sent

committed similar offence before also. It is submitted that the accused may commit case property has been recovered from the possession of the accused and the accused Ld. APP for the State has opposed the bail application on the ground that the

Contd:

similar type of offences again, if released on bail.

no more required for any custodial interrogation, I am of the considered view that no antecedents of the accused are clean, recovery has already been effected and accused is prevailing duty roster, subject to the following conditions:purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind bars. Hence, accused Rahul @ Dinesh is with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of ld. Duty MM as per admitted to bail subject to furnishing of personal bond in the sum of Rs. Heard. Perused. Considering the submissions and the circumstances that past

- called. 1. That the accused person(s) shall join investigation as and when
- conditions of bond to be executed. 1, That the accused person(s) shall attend the Court as per
- 3. That the accused person(s) shall not commit similar offence and;
- 4. That the accused person(s) shall not directly/indirectly induce.

give threat, or in any way dissuade the witnesses/persons acquainted with the facts of the case and also shall not tamper with the evidence.

Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar Bazar and Ld. Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of

be kept for records and be tagged with the final report.

SHIVLI TALWAR SHIVLI TALWAR SHIVLI TALWAR SHIVLI TALWAR SHIVLI TALWAR)
MM-06(C)/THC/Delhi/23.11.2020

2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/

## Joined through Video conferencing.

applicant/accused Ashwani s/o Madan Lal. The present application for grant of bail u/s 437 Cr.P.C. has been moved on behalf of

Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex

meeting through Cisco Webex. Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Singh, ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has joined

the Court. Therefore, it has been prayed that applicant/accused be released on bail. investigation qua accused is already complete and charge-sheet has already been filed in in any other case. It is further submitted that the accused is in J/C since 24.08.2020, further submitted that the past antecedents of the accused are clean and he is not involved nothing has been recovered from the possession or at the instance of the accused. It is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that accused

the committed enlarged on bail. Counsel for the applicant/accused electronically. IO, in his reply, has stated that both victims suffered simple injuries as a heinous offence and he may commit similar type of offences Reply of IO has been filed electronically. Copy of same has been sent to per the MLCs. It is stated that the accused has again, if

ground accused since the accused had been apprehended by the police officials while he was should not be granted bail. It is further submitted that no recovery was made from the punishable up to imprisonment for life and thus, in view of the gravity of the offence, he that the Ld. APP for the State has vehemently opposed the bail application on the accused has committed a heinous offence u/s 394 IPC which is

attempting to commit robbery.

Submissions heard. Charge-sheet perused.

released on bail, he may threaten the complainant/ witness. Considering the gravity of the Accused gave beating to the complainant and one other person and tried to rob them. applicant/accused and the present bail application is hereby rejected offence and seriousness of the allegations, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the There is specific allegation against the accused. There are chances that if the accused is Accused has been apprehended from the spot by the police officials.

Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar Bazar and Ld. Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of

be kept for records and be tagged with the final report.

rt. SHIVLI TALWAR

SHIVLI TALWAR
TALWAR Date: (SHIVLI TALWAR)
16:06: (STHC/Delhi/23.11.2020