Civ DJ 98/2016 9099/16 INDERJEET SINGH KHOKHOR Vs. JASPAL SINGH KHOKHOR AND ANR.

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

In compliance of circular dated 15.07.2020 of the Ld.District and Sessions Judge, West, THC, Delhi, this matter has been marked as urgent being listed under the category of misc.arguments.

Notice be issued to parties and their respective counsels through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi with direction to send their consent for conducting proceedings through video conferencing within three days.

Be awaited for report of notice.

CS No. 9642/16

Kamalpreet Kaur Lamba Vs. Harminder Pal Singh

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

In compliance of circular dated 15.07.2020 of the Ld.District and Sessions Judge, West, THC, Delhi, this matter has been marked as urgent being listed under the category of misc.arguments.

Notice be issued to parties and their respective counsels through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi with direction to send their consent for conducting proceedings through video conferencing within three days.

Be awaited for report of notice.

ARBT No.45/17 S.N.Malhotra Vs. Delhi Agricultural Marketing Board

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

As per report of ahlmad, neither process received back through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi nor response from either of the parties for conducting proceedings through video conferencing received till date.

In the facts, put up on 16.10.2020 for purpose already fixed.

CS No. 613407/16 M/s.Appolo Cranes Pvt.Ltd. Vs. M/s.Access Cranes Pvt.Ltd.

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

As per report of ahlmad, process not received back. However, consent for conducting proceedings through video conferencing received on court email from Sh.Vikas Sharma, Ld.counsel for plaintiff. But since no consent has been received from ld.counsel for defendant, therefore, the matter is adjourned for 23.10.2020 for purpose fixed.

CS No. 611555/16 Rakesh Kumar Garg Vs. Fiber Home India Pvt.Ltd.

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

As per report of ahlmad, neither process received back through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi nor response from either of the parties for conducting proceedings through video conferencing received till date.

In the facts, put up on 29.09.2020 for purpose already fixed.

CS No. 610097/16 Usman Ali Vs.G.S.Developers & Contractors P.Ltd.

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

As per report of ahlmad, neither process received back through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi nor response from either of the parties for conducting proceedings through video conferencing received till date.

In the facts, put up on 08.10.2020 for purpose already fixed.

CS No. 610943/16 Sanjay Kumar Vs. Kishore Kumar

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

As per report of ahlmad, neither process received back through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi nor response from either of the parties for conducting proceedings through video conferencing received till date.

In the facts, put up on 09.10.2020 for purpose already fixed.

RCA No. 50/2018 Nirmala Devi Vs. Moola Devi

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

As per report of ahlmad, neither process received back through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi nor response from either of the parties for conducting proceedings through video conferencing received till date.

In the facts, put up on 14.10.2020 for purpose already fixed.

Misc No. 127/17 Kishor Kumar Vs. Soma Devi

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

As per report of ahlmad, neither process received back through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi nor response from either of the parties for conducting proceedings through video conferencing received till date.

In the facts, put up on 09.10.2020 for purpose already fixed.

MCA No. 45128/16 Rati Ram Vs. Mohd.Swaleheen

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

As per report of ahlmad, neither process received back through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi nor response from either of the parties for conducting proceedings through video conferencing received till date.

In the facts, put up on 09.10.2020 for purpose already fixed.

RCA No. 61161/2016 Jagdish Chander Vs. Kamod Karwal

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

As per report of ahlmad, neither process received back through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi nor response from either of the parties for conducting proceedings through video conferencing received till date.

In the facts, put up on 29.09.2020 for purpose already fixed.

CS No. 612870/16 CIS BIO International Vs.RSL Balibstruments Inc.

18.07.2020

Pr: None for plaintiff.

Defendant exparte.

Sh.Binay Shanker Tiwary, Ld.counsel for plaintiff (M.No. 9971132266, Email ID: binay.s.tiwari@gmail.com) has sent his consent on court email for conducing of proceedings through video conferencing.

In the facts, put upon 23.07.2020 at 11.00 a.m. for exparte final arguments.

VICHITRA CONSTRUCTION PVT LTD Vs. MAHANAGAR TELEPHONE NIGAM LTD

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

In compliance of circular dated 15.07.2020 of the Ld.District and Sessions Judge, West, THC, Delhi, this matter has been marked as urgent being listed under the category of misc.arguments.

Notice be issued to parties and their respective counsels through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi with direction to send their consent for conducting proceedings through video conferencing within three days.

Be awaited for report of notice.

Civ DJ 103/2016 11452/16 INDERPAL SINGH KHOKHAR Vs. INDERJEET SINGH KHOKHAR

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

In compliance of circular dated 15.07.2020 of the Ld.District and Sessions Judge, West, THC, Delhi, this matter has been marked as urgent being listed under the category of misc.arguments.

Notice be issued to parties and their respective counsels through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi with direction to send their consent for conducting proceedings through video conferencing within three days.

Be awaited for report of notice.

CS No. 607747/2016 Kamal Kishor Bahri and Ors. Vs. Balram Bahri

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

As per report of ahlmad, neither process received back through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi nor response from either of the parties for conducting proceedings through video conferencing received till date.

In the facts, put up on 16.10.2020 for purpose already fixed.

CS No. 608545/16 Surinder Kumar Bhalla Vs.Virender Kumar Bhalla

18.07.2020

Pr: None.

As per report of ahlmad, process received back through Nazarat Branch, West, THC, Delhi.

Despite service, Sh.Ajay Behl, Ld.counsel for plaintiff has not sent his consent for conducting of proceedings through video conferencing.

Sh.M.S.Rohilla, Id.counsel for defendants no.8 to 9 has sent his consent on court email ID for conducting of proceedings through video conferencing. However. Sh.Subrat Deb, Id.counsel for defendant no.7 and Sh.L.S.Rana, Ld.counsel for defendants no.1 to 6 have shown their inability to conduct proceedings through video conferencing.

In the facts, put up on 29.10.2020 for purpose already fixed.