FIR No. 55/2020

P.S Anand Parbat

State Vs. Jai Prakash Saha

u/s 379/411 IPC

02.05.2020

Present:

Ld. APP for the State.

Counsel for applicant.

IO concerned in person.

Reply filed on behalf of IO. Same be taken on record.

Arguments heard on the bail application of accused.

It is submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused is running in J/C since 19.03.2020. It is further submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused has been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant and has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted by him that investigation has already been completed and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping accused behind bars any further. Hence, it is requested that applicant/ accused be admitted on bail in the interest of justice.

On the contrary, Ld. APP for the State submits that allegations against applicant/ accused are serious in nature. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant/ accused may not be admitted on bail.

Without going into the merits of the case as well as in view of emergent conditions prevailing due to outbreak of Novel Coronoa Virus (Covid-19) and a step towards de-congestion of the jail and to protect health and safety of the accused as well as jail inmates, I deem it appropriate to admit the applicant/ accused on bail on furnishing



personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety in like amount subject to the condition that accused shall stay away from the vicinity of the complainant's house and shall not make any efforts to communicate with the complainant. Accused shall inform about his fresh address to IO prior to 15 days of shifting to any other address / place.

PB/SB not furnished.

Information in this regard be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Application stands disposed off in above terms.

As requested, a copy of the order be given dasti to the IO.

(NEETU NAGAR)

D/MM (Mahila Court)-01,West, THC/Delhi/02.05.2020 FIR No. 0212/2020

P.S Moti Nagar

02.05.2020

An application moved on behalf of the applicant for release of vehicle no. **DL1LW5036** on superdari.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / Registered owner in person.

Report from concerned IO filed. Same is perused.

Heard. Material perused.

Instead of releasing the vehicle on superdari, I am of the considered view that the vehicle has to be released as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as "Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat, AIR 2003 SC 638" wherein it has been held that;

"68. Vehicles involved in an offence may be released to the rightful owner after preparing detailed panchnama; taking photographs of the vehicle, valuation report, and a security bond.

69. The photographs of the vehicle should be attested countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over.

70. The production of the vehicle should not be insisted upon during the trial. The panchnama and photographs along with the valuation report should suffice for the purpose of evidence.

71. Return of vehicles and permission for sale thereof should be the general norm rather than the exception.

72. If the vehicle is insured, the court shall issue notice to



73. If a vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by a third person, it may be ordered to be sold by auction."

The view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled "Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. NO. 4485/2013" dated 10.09.2014.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, vehicle in question bearing registration number <a href="DL1LW5036">DL1LW5036</a> be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to applicant.

Panchnama shall be filed in the Court along with charge

sheet.

(NEETU NAGAR)

D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,

THC/Delhi/02.05.2020

FIR No. 0212/2020

P.S Moti Nagar

02.05.2020

An application moved on behalf of the applicant for release of vehicle no. **DL4SCS4910** on superdari.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / Registered owner in person.

Report from concerned IO filed. Same is perused.

Heard. Material perused.

- "68. Vehicles involved in an offence may be released to the rightful owner after preparing detailed panchnama; taking photographs of the vehicle, valuation report, and a security bond.
- 69. The photographs of the vehicle should be attested countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over.
- 70. The production of the vehicle should not be insisted upon during the trial. The panchnama and photographs along with the valuation report should suffice for the purpose of evidence.
- 71. Return of vehicles and permission for sale thereof should be the general norm rather than the exception.
  - 72. If the vehicle is insured, the court shall issue notice to



73. If a vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by a third person, it may be ordered to be sold by auction."

The view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled "Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. NO. 4485/2013" dated 10.09.2014.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, vehicle in question bearing registration number <a href="DL4SCS4910">DL4SCS4910</a> be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to applicant.

Panchnama shall be filed in the Court along with charge sheet.

(NEETU NAGAR)
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,
THC/Delhi/02.05.2020

FIR No. 153/2020

P.S Maya Puri

02.05.2020

An application moved on behalf of the applicant for release of vehicle no. **DL4SCP6133** on superdari.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / Registered owner in person.

Report from concerned IO filed. Same is perused.

Heard. Material perused.

Instead of releasing the vehicle on superdari, I am of the considered view that the vehicle has to be released as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as "Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat, AIR 2003 SC 638" wherein it has been held that;

"68. Vehicles involved in an offence may be released to the rightful owner after preparing detailed panchnama; taking photographs of the vehicle, valuation report, and a security bond.

69. The photographs of the vehicle should be attested countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over.

70. The production of the vehicle should not be insisted upon during the trial. The panchnama and photographs along with the valuation report should suffice for the purpose of evidence.

- 71. Return of vehicles and permission for sale thereof should be the general norm rather than the exception.
  - 72. If the vehicle is insured, the court shall issue notice to



73. If a vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by a third person, it may be ordered to be sold by auction."

The view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled "Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. NO. 4485/2013" dated 10.09.2014.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, vehicle in question bearing registration number <a href="DL4SCP6133">DL4SCP6133</a> be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to applicant.

Panchnama shall be filed in the Court along with charge sheet.

(NEETU NAGAR)
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,
THC/Delhi/02.05.2020

eFIR No. 7185/2020

P.S Mundka

State Vs. Rohit

02.05.2020

Present:

Ld. APP for the State.

Counsel for accused.

Reply to the bail application has already been filed but the same pertains to eFIR no. 7158/2020 dated 01.03.2020 PS Mundka but the present bail application relates to eFIR No. 7185/2020.

Accordingly, let clarification be sought from the IO concerned in this regard, for 03.05.2020.

Copy of order be given Dasti to Ld. Counsel for accused as requested.

Copy of order be also sent to IO concerned.

(NEETU NAGAR)
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,
THC/Delhi/02.05.2020

FIR No. 155/2020

P.S Paschim Vihar

02.05.2020

An application moved on behalf of the applicant for release of vehicle no. **DL8CAT5191** on superdari.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State.

Counsel for applicant / Registered owner.

Report from concerned IO filed. Same is perused.

Heard. Material perused.

- "68. Vehicles involved in an offence may be released to the rightful owner after preparing detailed panchnama; taking photographs of the vehicle, valuation report, and a security bond.
- 69. The photographs of the vehicle should be attested countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over.
- 70. The production of the vehicle should not be insisted upon during the trial. The panchnama and photographs along with the valuation report should suffice for the purpose of evidence.
- 71. Return of vehicles and permission for sale thereof should be the general norm rather than the exception.
  - 72. If the vehicle is insured, the court shall issue notice to



73. If a vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by a third person, it may be ordered to be sold by auction."

The view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled "Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. NO. 4485/2013" dated 10.09.2014.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, vehicle in question bearing registration number <a href="DL8CAT5191">DL8CAT5191</a> be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to applicant.

Panchnama shall be filed in the Court along with charge sheet.

D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West, THC/Delhi/02.05.2020 FIR No. 110/2020

P.S Mundka

State Vs. Chirag Dua

02.05.2020

An application moved on behalf of the applicant for release of vehicle no. **HR13H6327** on superdari.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / Registered owner in person.

Report from concerned IO filed. Same is perused.

Heard. Material perused.

Instead of releasing the vehicle on superdari, I am of the considered view that the vehicle has to be released as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as "Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat, AIR 2003 SC 638" wherein it has been held that;

"68. Vehicles involved in an offence may be released to the rightful owner after preparing detailed panchnama; taking photographs of the vehicle, valuation report, and a security bond.

69. The photographs of the vehicle should be attested countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over.

70. The production of the vehicle should not be insisted upon during the trial. The panchnama and photographs along with the valuation report should suffice for the purpose of evidence.

71. Return of vehicles and permission for sale thereof should be the general norm rather than the exception.



73. If a vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by a third person, it may be ordered to be sold by auction."

The view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled "Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. NO. 4485/2013" dated 10.09.2014.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, vehicle in question bearing registration number <a href="https://docs.pythology.com/HR13H6327">HR13H6327</a> be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to applicant.

Panchnama shall be filed in the Court along with charge sheet.

D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West, THC/Delhi/02.05.2020 FIR No. 241/2020

P.S Punjabi Bagh

02.05.2020

An application moved on behalf of the applicant for release of vehicle no. **DL3CCR0990** on superdari.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / Registered owner in person with counsel.

Report from concerned IO filed. Same is perused.

Heard. Material perused.

- "68. Vehicles involved in an offence may be released to the rightful owner after preparing detailed panchnama; taking photographs of the vehicle, valuation report, and a security bond.
- 69. The photographs of the vehicle should be attested countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over.
- 70. The production of the vehicle should not be insisted upon during the trial. The panchnama and photographs along with the valuation report should suffice for the purpose of evidence.
- 71. Return of vehicles and permission for sale thereof should be the general norm rather than the exception.
  - 72. If the vehicle is insured, the court shall issue notice to



73. If a vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by a third person, it may be ordered to be sold by auction."

The view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled "Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. NO. 4485/2013" dated 10.09.2014.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, vehicle in question bearing registration number <a href="DL3CCR0990">DL3CCR0990</a> be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to applicant.

Panchnama shall be filed in the Court along with charge sheet.

NEETU NAGAR)
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,
THC/Delhi/02.05.2020

FIR No. 249/2020

P.S Punjabi Bagh

02.05.2020

An application moved on behalf of the applicant for release of vehicle no. **DL10CH8158** on superdari.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / Registered owner in person with counsel.

Report from concerned IO filed. Same is perused.

Heard. Material perused.

- "68. Vehicles involved in an offence may be released to the rightful owner after preparing detailed panchnama; taking photographs of the vehicle, valuation report, and a security bond.
- 69. The photographs of the vehicle should be attested countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over.
- 70. The production of the vehicle should not be insisted upon during the trial. The panchnama and photographs along with the valuation report should suffice for the purpose of evidence.
- 71. Return of vehicles and permission for sale thereof should be the general norm rather than the exception.
  - 72. If the vehicle is insured, the court shall issue notice to



73. If a vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by a third person, it may be ordered to be sold by auction."

The view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled "Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. NO. 4485/2013" dated 10.09.2014.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, vehicle in question bearing registration number <a href="DL10CH8158">DL10CH8158</a> be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court. Applicant is further directed not to use the vehicle for roaming unnecessarily breaking the norms during the lockdown period.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to applicant.

Panchnama shall be filed in the Court along with charge

sheet.

(NEETU NAGAR)
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01,West,
THC/Delhi/02.05.2020