FIR No. 119/2021 PS: Tilak Nagar

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

None.

IO/SHO did not file any verification report. Let report be called from the concerned IO/SHO for not complying with the orders dated 17.05.2021 and 18.05.2021.

IO/SHO is directed to file report on 20.05.2021.

FIR No. 29/2021 PS: Ranjit Nagar

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

None.

IO/SHO did not file any verification report. Let report be called from the concerned IO/SHO for not complying with the orders dated 17.05.2021 and 18.05.2021.

IO/SHO is directed to file report on 20.05.2021.

FIR No. 118/2021 PS: Tilak Nagar

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

None.

Put up for consideration on 20.05.2021.

FIR No. 174/2021 PS: Ranhola

19.05.2021

Present: None for the State.

None for the accused.

Report received from Tihar Jail.

Put up before the concerned court on 28.05.2021.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN)
Duty MM-II, West Dist, THC, Delhi
19.05.2021.

Alm Pinjahi dagh Under Beetlen 207/34 TPS Bent to Jall On F I. R. No. 0359/81 **Ball Bond** I ..... Check the second second second and the second seco Residente July 31 n 988 & Coment Riching Shakur Basti Punjachi Cagh having been arrested or detained without warrant by the Officer Incharge of Adjustant Consideration Police Station for having been brought before this Honourable Court charged with the offence of \_and required to give surety for my attendance before such Officer of Courton condition that I shall attend such Officer Officer or Court on every day on which any investigation or trial is held with regard to such charge and in case of my making default here in myself to forfelt to Government the sun Plo Verity Sucty is diseased to file from

Should P.M

Should P.M

Should profession of the Sulverey poof for

Should play to fore long my Mrs.

Should profession of the sulverey poof for

Should profession of the sulverey poof for

Should profession of the sulverey poof for

Should profession of the sulverey poof fore

Should profession of the sulverey poof for the sulverey poof for the sulverey poof fore

Should profession of the sulverey poof for the sulv DATED son of shri Sheth thatenul oothe Residence Juggi night Cement cialing Shakun Basti Runjabi Bagh Police Station or the Court of Shri ...... Participal Bagh every day on which any investigation in the charge is made or any trial on such charge is held that he shall be and re-appear before such officer or court for the purpose of such investigation to answer the charge against him (as the case may be) and in the case or his making default herein I have blind myself to forefelt to Govt. the sum of 250001-200 9718136406 Hills Threwest

Most date of hearing

Name Oresent in his IN THE COURT OF LD. DUTY MM. THC. M.M. DELHI. THE MATTER OF : MANDEEP SINGH @ GOLU DemSTATE VS. S/O Sh. SATNAM SINGH F.I.R. NO. 332 | 2021 U.S. 356 379 411 34 TPC P.S. TILAK NAGAR SENT TO J.C. 8 5 2021 FOR INTERIM BAIL BEHALF ON CR.P.C. APPLICANT/ACCUSED, UNDER, PREVENDTIVE Report to report PREMISES. MEASURE IN RESPECT OF RAPID SPREADING OF CORONA PANDEMIC COVID-19 IN JAIL MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:-1- That the above named applicant has been falsely implicated in the above noted case. 2-That the applicant/accused has been arrested by the police and is being produced before this Hon'ble court. 3-That the applicant/accused is innocent and is not previous convict. 4- That the applicant/accused belongs to respectable family.

FIR No. 58/2021

Ranjit Nagar PS: U/s:- 380/411/34 IPC

State Vs. Aman Soni

Date:- 19.05.2021

Ld. APP for the State through VC. Present:

Shri Jagjit Singh, Ld. Legal Aid Counsel for the accused

through VC.

This is an application for release of above accused on personal bond.

Ld. Counsel for the accused / applicant submits bail order dated 12.04.2021 through electronic mode. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the accused / applicant that the accused is not in a position to arrange a surety in the sum mentioned as accused hails from lowest strata of society and accused is languishing inside jail despite securing bail.

Arguments heard. Application perused.

On the above mentioned reasons and arguments, the present application to release the accused on personal bond is hereby allowed.

Accused be immediately release from Jail on furnishing of personal bond in the amount of Rs. 20,000/- to the satisfaction of the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Intimation in this regard be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed.



THE COURT OF MS. SHEFALI BARNALA NDON, LD. A.C.M.M., (WEST) TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI.

THE MATTER OF

State

Versus

Not known

FIR No.0109/2021 U/s 392/34 IPC

PS: Patel Nagar

RELEASE/RETURN ICATION FOR ARTICLES i.e. One Gold Ring and Rs.2800/- Cash, ON SUPERDARI, APPLICANT/OWNER

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

That in the above noted case, some articles were snatched and the same were recovered by the police of Patel Nagar, Delhi and the same is lying in the Police Station of Patel Nagar, Delhi. Copy of FIR enclosed herewith.

That the applicant/complainant is the owner of the above said article/ items/money.

That the applicant/complainant undertakes to produce the above said article/goods/items/cash amount before this Hon'ble Court as and when required.

That the applicant/complainant further undertakes that he will abide by the terms and conditions imposed by this Hon'ble Court while releasing the above said articles /goods/items, on superdari.

FIR No. 409/2021 PS: Mundka

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

None.

Put up for consideration on 20.05.2021.

FIR No.1300/2014 PS Moti Nagar State v. Nitin Kumar

17.05,2021

I am working as Duty MM today.

Present:

Ld. APP for the State through VC.

None for the accused.

Put up before the Ld. Concerned Duty MM for 18.05.2021.

Tania Singh Singh (Tania Singh)

Duty MM,

West, THC,Delhi/17.05.2021(v)

1879

m

ld Apr both frather n

por up befor ld. Duty mon to 19/0) n.

महानगर दण्डाधिकारी महिला न्याया ण-03 MM Mahila Court ६५ तील छजारी न्यायालय, दिल्ली Tis Hazari Courts, Dolla

19/5/2021

Nove breet as behalf of award.

but up before the Id Duey MM on 20-5-2021.

महानगर दण्डाधिकीरी Metropolitan Magistrate दिल्ली

IN THE COURT OF LD. DUTY M.M., TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI

IN RE:-

State Vs. KARANPREET SINGH P.S. TILAK NAGAR

U/S 379/356 IPC

FIR No. 361/2021

D.O.A. 13.05.2021

APPLICATION FOR BAIL ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT/ACCUSED U/S 437 CR.P.C.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH: -

1 That the applicant/accused is law abiding citizen of India.

2. That the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the above mentioned case.

FIR No. 198/2021 PS: Mundka

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

Ld. APP through VC.

None for the applicant/accused.

Put up for consideration on 20.05.2021.

FIR No. 112/2021 PS: Tilak Nagar

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

Ld. APP through VC.

None for the applicant/accused.

Put up for consideration on 20.05.2021.

FIR No. 978/2020 PS: Tilak Nagar

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present: Ld. APP through VC.

None for the applicant/accused.

Put up for consideration on 20.05.2021.

FIR No. 27285/2020 PS: Tilak Nagar U/S 411 IPC State Vs. Gurpreet Singh @ Lali

19.05.2021

Fresh charge-sheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Present: None for the State.

IO/HC Ramesh Chand Meena is present.

Put up for consideration before concerned court on

28.05.2021.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN)
Duty MM-II, West Dist, THC, Delhi
19.05.2021.

FIR No. 77/2021 PS: Moti Nagar State Vs. Nemat @ Mota U/s 356/379/411/34 IPC

19.05.2021

Present: Ld. APP for the State through VC.

Ld. Counsel for the accused through VC.

Reply to the bail application received from IO. Reply

perused.

Arguments heard on bail application from both sides.

Ld. Counsel for the accused submits that there was no bail application pending in the concerned court and one bail application was dismissed on 30.03.2021.

- Ld. Counsel requested that his application shall be treated as application for interim bail on the basis of quidelines of High Powered Committed of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.
- Applicant/accused Nemat @ Mota was arrested on 2. snatching of mobile phone.
- IO has strongly opposed the bail application and submitted 3. that the accused committed heinous crime of snatching and is a habitual criminal.
- In view of the guidelines of High Powered Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, the interim bail application is

allowed subject to condition that applicant/ accused Nemat @ Mota will appear and mark his attendance in PS Moti Nagar once every 14 days for next three months. If the applicant/accused fails to mark his attendance in police station once every 14 days for next three months, his interim bail will be reconsidered and may be cancelled, on the report of concerned SHO.

- 5. Applicant/accused Nemat @ Mota is admitted to interim bail for a period of three months on furnishing of personal bond of Rs. 25,000/- to the satisfaction of Jail Superintendent on the following conditions:
- (i) The applicant/accused shall not attempt to contact, threaten or otherwise influence the complainant.
- (ii) The applicant/accused shall maintain good and peaceful behaviour.

The applicant/accused shall surrender before the concerned Jail Superintendent on expiry of interim bail of three months or on 17.08.2021, whichever is earlier.

The application is disposed off accordingly.

Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for intimation.

Copy of this order be also sent to IO and to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused, as prayed for.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN)
Duty MM-II, West Dist, THC, Delhi
19.05.2021.

FIR No. 303/2018

PS: EOW Moti Nagar State Vs. Manoj Kumar U/s 420/406/120B IPC

19.05.2021

Present: Ld. APP for the State through VC.

Ld. Counsel for the accused through VC.

Reply to the bail application received from IO. Reply

perused.

Application for interim bail was filed by the accused on 16.05.2021, however, the IO filed the reply which bears the date of 04.05.2021.

IO is directed to appear before the concerned Duty MM on 20.05.2021 for explanation and proper reply.

Put up on 20.05.2021 before the concerned duty

MM.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN)

Duty MM-II, West Dist, THC, Delhi
19.05.2021.

FIR No. 122/2021 PS: Mundka State Vs. Suraj Sharma U/s 379/411 IPC

19.05.2021

perused.

Present: Ld. APP for the State through VC.

Ld. Counsel for the accused through VC.

Reply to the bail application received from IO. Reply

Arguments heard on bail application from both sides.

- 1. Ld. Counsel requested that his application shall be treated as application for interim bail on the basis of guidelines of High Powered Committed of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.
- 2. Applicant/accused Suraj Sharma was arrested with scooty No. DL12 SF 6984 TVS Jupiter and three stolen mobile.
- 3. IO has strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that the accused is habitual offender and may jump the bail.
- 4. In view of the guidelines of High Powered Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, the interim bail application is allowed subject to condition that applicant/ accused Suraj Sharma will appear and mark his attendance in PS Mundka once every 14 days for next three months. If the applicant/accused fails to mark his attendance in police station once every 14 days for next three months, his interim bail will be reconsidered and

FIR No. 122/2021

PS: Mundka

State Vs. Suraj Sharma

-2-

may be cancelled, on the report of concerned SHO.

- 5. Applicant/accused Suraj Sharma is admitted to interim bail for a period of three months on furnishing of personal bond of Rs. 25,000/- to the satisfaction of Jail Superintendent on the following conditions:
- (i) The applicant/accused shall not attempt to contact, threaten or otherwise influence the complainant.
- (ii) The applicant/accused shall maintain good and peaceful behaviour.

The applicant/accused shall surrender before the concerned Jail Superintendent on expiry of interim bail of three months or on 17.08.2021, whichever is earlier.

The application is disposed off accordingly.

Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for intimation.

Copy of this order be also sent to IO and to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused, as prayed for.

(Dr. OMPĂL SHOKEEN)

Duty MM-II, West Dist, THC, Delhi
19.05.2021.

FIR No. 20658/2020

PS: Mundka

State Vs. Shushmit @ Sumit

Date: 19.05.2021

Present:

Ld. APP through VC.

Ms. Pooja Tomar, Ld. LAC for the accused through VC.

IO filed the reply.

Reply perused.

It is stated by the IO in the reply that the matter pertains

to the PS Holambi Kalan and not PS Mundka.

Clarification sought from Ld. LAC.

Ld. LAC seeks sometime to clarify on the above aspect.

Put up for consideration on 20.05.2021.

FIR. No. 349/2021 U/S 336/34 IPC & 27 Arms Act PS Mundka State Vs. 1. Surender @ Pappu 2. Mahaveer

19.05.2021

Present:

Ld. APP through VC.

IO/SI Rajbir alongwith case file.

Both accused produced.

An application for two days police custody remand

has been filed by the IO.

Arrest memo and MLC perused.

Keeping in view of above, the accused is remanded for two days police custody as there are sufficient reasons for the same as to arrest co-accused and recover case property. IO is directed to get the accused medically examined as per the law.

Accused be produced before the concerned court / Duty MM on 21.05.2021.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to the IO as prayed.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN)
Duty MM (West)
THC, Delhi / 19.05.2021

FIR. No. 278/2021 U/S 323/341/308/506/34 IPC PS Mundka State Vs. 1. Surender @ Pappu 2. Mahavir

19.05.2021

Present: Ld. APP through VC.

IO/SI Rajbir alongwith case file.

Accused produced.

An application for two days police custody remand

has been filed by the IO.

Arrest memo and MLC perused.

Keeping in view of above, the accused is remanded for two days police custody as there are sufficient reasons for the same as to recover case property. IO is directed to get the accused medically examined as per the law.

Accused be produced before the concerned court / Duty MM on <u>21.05.2021</u>.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to the IO as prayed.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN)
Duty MM (West)
THC, Delhi / 19.05.2021

FIR No. 286/2021 PS: Ranhola

U/s:- 153-A/429/34 IPC & 4/12 DACP Act & 11.1(L) PCA Act

State Vs.

1. Mohsin

2. Tangeem

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

Ld. APP through VC.

IO/ASI Raj Kumar is present.

Accused Mohsin and Tangeem produced after fresh

arrest.

IO has filed an application for 14 days JC of the accused.

Arrest memo and MLC perused.

In view of the reasons stated in the application there are sufficient grounds that the accused may commit further offences, they may induce, threat or promise to any person for acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Courts or to the police officers and to conduct proper investigation of the offence.

Therefore, the accused is remanded to judicial custody till 02.06.2021.

Accused be produced before the concerned Court/Duty

M.M. on 02.06.2021.

Parined Cott (CO) 60

Copy of this order be given Dasti to I.O.

FIR No. 144/2021 PS: Ranhola

U/s:- 153-A/429/34 IPC & 4/12 DACP Act & 11.1(L) PCA Act

1. Mohsin

2. Tangeem

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

Ld. APP through VC.

ASI Raj Kumar is present on behalf of IO/ASI Ram

Kishan.

Accused Mohsin and Tangeem produced after fresh

arrest

IO has filed an application for 14 days JC of the accused.

Arrest memo and MLC perused.

In view of the reasons stated in the application there are sufficient grounds that the accused may commit further offences, they may induce, threat or promise to any person for acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Courts or to the police officers and to conduct proper investigation of the offence.

Therefore, the accused is remanded to judicial custody till 02.06.2021.

Accused be produced before the concerned Court/Duty M.M. on <u>02.06.2021</u>.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to I.O.

FIR No. 382/2021 PS: Tilak Nagar U/s:- 356/379 IPC

State Vs. Ramneek Singh

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

Ld. APP through VC.

PSI Brijesh is present on behalf of IO/SI Anshu Kadiyan. Accused Ramneek Singh produced after fresh arrest.

IO has filed an application for 14 days JC of the accused.

Arrest memo and MLC perused.

In view of the reasons stated in the application there are sufficient grounds that the accused may commit further offences, they may induce, threat or promise to any person for acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Courts or to the police officers and to conduct proper investigation of the offence.

Therefore, the accused is remanded to judicial custody till 02.06.2021.

Accused be produced before the concerned Court/Duty

M.M. on **02.06.2021**.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to I.O.

(Dr. OMPAL ŠHOKEEN) Duty MM (THC/Delhi) 19.05.2021

Received

PSI Barjesh Redhy D-6399 PIS-16190265

FIR No. 255/2021 PS: Moti Nagar U/s:- 302/323 IPC State Vs. Arjun

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

Ld. APP through VC.

SI Naresh Kumar is present on behalf of IO/Inspector B.S.

Gulia.

Accused Arjun produced after fresh arrest.

IO has filed an application for 14 days JC of the accused.

Arrest memo and MLC perused.

In view of the reasons stated in the application there are sufficient grounds that the accused may commit further offences, they may induce, threat or promise to any person for acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Courts or to the police officers and to conduct proper investigation of the offence.

Therefore, the accused is remanded to judicial custody till 02.06.2021.

Accused be produced before the concerned Court/Duty M.M. on 02.06.2021.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to I.O.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN) Duty MM (THC/Delhi)

19.05.2021

Atik So plant benn

FIR. No. 129/2021 U/S 356/379/34 IPC PS Punjabi Bagh State Vs. 1. Shahzad @ Mulla 2. Vikas

19.05.2021

Present:

Ld. APP through VC.

IO/SI Jatin alongwith case file. Accused produced through VC.

An application for one day police custody remand

has been filed by the IO.

Arrest memo and MLC perused.

Keeping in view of above, the accused is remanded for one day police custody as there are sufficient reasons for the same as to recover stolen property. IO is directed to get the accused medically examined as per the law.

Accused be produced before the concerned court / Duty MM on 20.05.2021.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to the IO as prayed.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN) Duty MM (West) THC, Delhi / 19.05.2021

suid Sotri Sisser 2 Projosi Borgh.

FIR No.202/2021 PS – Punjabi Bagh U/s – 356/379 IPC

19.05.2021

Present: Ld. APP for the State through VC.

IO/SI Jatin is present.

An application is moved by the IO / SI Jatin for interrogation of the accused Vikas. Considering that the disclosure statement has been made in another matter about the offence in the present case, the application is allowed and IO is granted permission to interrogate the accused.

The accused is lodged at Mandoli Jail in FIR No. 202/2021 u/s 356/379 IPC.

Jail Superintendent, Mandoli Jail is directed to allow the IO to interrogate the accused as per rules.

If required after interrogation, IO may arrest the accused as per the applicable guidelines for fresh arrest and produce him within 24 hours before Court.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN) Duty MM (THC/Delhi) 19.05.2021

rueird 513 der 513 der 93 082 di Bogh Pr Projesi Bogh

FIR No. 824/2020 PS: Patel Nagar

State Vs. Sameer @ Manoj @ Karan

19.05.2021

Present: Ld. APP for the State through VC.

Ld. Counsel for the accused.

Reply to the bail application received from IO. Reply

perused.

Arguments heard on bail application from both sides.

- 1. Interim bail sought on the basis of guidelines of High Powered Committed of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.
- 2. Applicant/accused Sameer @ Manoj @ Karan was arrested for snatching mobile phone.
- 3. IO has strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that the accused committed heinous crime of snatching and is a habitual criminal.
- 4. In view of the guidelines of High Powered Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, the interim bail application is allowed subject to condition that applicant/ accused Sameer @ Manoj @ Karan will appear and mark his attendance in PS Patel Nagar once every 14 days for next three months. If the applicant'/accused fails to mark his attendance in police station once every 14 days for next three months, his interim bail will be reconsidered and may be cancelled, on the report of concerned

Presided by : Dr. Ompai Site

IR No. 8242020 dated 24.12.2020

FIR No. 824/2020 PS: Patel Nagar State Vs. Sameer @ Manoj @ Karan

-2-

SHO.

- 5. Applicant/accused Sameer @ Manoj @ Karan is admitted to interim bail for a period of three months on furnishing of personal bond of Rs. 25,000/- to the satisfaction of Jail Superintendent on the following conditions:
- (i) The applicant/accused shall not attempt to contact, threaten or otherwise influence the complainant.
- (ii) The applicant/accused shall maintain good and peaceful behaviour.

The applicant/accused shall surrender before the concerned Jail Superintendent on expiry of interim bail of three months or on 17.08.2021, whichever is earlier.

The application is disposed off accordingly.

Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for intimation.

Copy of this order be also sent to IO and to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused, as prayed for.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN)
Duty MM-II, West Dist, THC, Delhi
19.05.2021.

FIR No. 484/2020 PS: Patel Nagar

State Vs. Sameer @ Manoj @ Karan

19.05.2021

Present: Ld. APP for the State through VC.

Ld. Counsel for the accused.

Reply to the bail application received from IO. Reply

perused.

Arguments heard on bail application from both sides.

- Interim bail sought on the basis of guidelines of High Powered Committed of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.
- Applicant/accused Sameer @ Manoj @ Karan was arrested for snatching gold chain on black colour pulser bike.
- 3. IO has strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that the accused committed heinous crime of snatching and is a habitual criminal.
- 4. In view of the guidelines of High Powered Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, the interim bail application is allowed subject to condition that applicant/ accused Sameer @ Manoj @ Karan will appear and mark his attendance in PS Patel Nagar once every 14 days for next three months. If the applicant'/accused fails to mark his attendance in police station once every 14 days for next three months, his interim bail will be reconsidered and may be cancelled, on the report of concerned

pn ( Co

19.

FIR No. 484/2020 PS: Patel Nagar

State Vs. Sameer @ Manoj @ Karan

-2-

SHO.

- 5. Applicant/accused Sameer @ Manoj @ Karan is admitted to interim bail for a period of three months on furnishing of personal bond of Rs. 25,000/- to the satisfaction of Jail Superintendent on the following conditions:
- (i) The applicant/accused shall not attempt to contact, threaten or otherwise influence the complainant.
- (ii) The applicant/accused shall maintain good and peaceful behaviour.

The applicant/accused shall surrender before the concerned Jail Superintendent on expiry of interim bail of three months or on 17.08.2021, whichever is earlier.

The application is disposed off accordingly.

Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for intimation.

Copy of this order be also sent to IO and to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused, as prayed for.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN)
Duty MM-II, West Dist, THC, Delhi
19.05.2021.

#### IN THE COURT OF DUTY METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE - II WEST DISTRICT, TIS HAZARI COURT, DELHI Presided by : Dr. Ompal Shokeen

eFIR No. 012807/2021 PS: Ranjeet Nagar U/S 379 IPC

19.05.2021

Fresh application for release of vehicle bearing no. DL-5SAT-4047 on superdari moved on behalf of applicant.

Present:

None for the State.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant alongwith applicant.

No objection to the release of the vehicle bearing registration no. DL-5SAT-4047 of the applicant is tendered on behalf of the IO/HC Rajesh Kumar. The said vehicle is not required to be retained in the present case for any purpose.

Application perused. Submissions heard.

Instead of releasing the above mentioned articles on superdari, I am of the considered view that the articles have to be released as per the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638. The view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled as Manjeet Singh vs. State, (2014) 214 DLT 646 wherein it has been held

who, in the opinion of the Court, is lawfully entitled to claim such as the complainant at whose house theft, robbery or dacoity has taken place, after preparing detailed panchnama of such articles; taking photographs of such articles and a security bond.

The photographs of such articles should by the complainant of such articles should by the complainant of such articles should be such articles.

handed over. Wherever necessary, the Court may get the jewellery articles

valued from a government approved valuer.

61. The actual production of the valuable articles during the trial should not be insisted upon and the photographs along with the panchnama should suffice for the purposes of evidence."

Considering the facts and the circumstances and the law laid down by the higher courts, article in question i.e. vehicle bearing no. **DL-5SAT-4047** be released to the applicant on verification of the particulars regarding ownership and after preparing panchnama and on furnishing an indemnity bond as per the value of the vehicle. It is further directed that the article i.e. vehicle bearing no. **DL-5SAT-4047** shall be photographed from all the angles. The Panchnama and Indemnity Bond along with photographs be filed with final report.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti as prayed.

(OMPAL SHOKEEN)
Duty MM-II, West Dist., THC, Delhi
19.05.2021

FIR No. 446/2021 PS: Punjabi Bagh U/s:- 308 IPC

State Vs. Dalip Dhayama

Date: 19.05.2021

Ld. APP through VC. Present:

IO/ASI Jagdish is present.

Accused Dalip Dhayama produced after fresh arrest.

IO has filed an application for 14 days JC of the accused.

Arrest memo and MLC perused.

In view of the reasons stated in the application there are sufficient grounds that the accused may commit further offences, they may induce, threat or promise to any person for acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Courts or to the police officers and to conduct proper investigation of the offence.

Therefore, the accused is remanded to judicial custody till 01.06.2021.

Accused be produced before the concerned Court/Duty M.M. on **01.06.2021**.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to I.O.

#### IN THE COURT OF DUTY METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE - II WEST DISTRICT, TIS HAZARI COURT, DELHI Presided by : Dr. Ompal Shokeen

FIR No. 005145/2021 PS : Tilak Nagar U/S 379 IPC

19.05.2021

Fresh application for release of vehicle bearing no. DL-9SBE-4407 on superdari moved on behalf of applicant.

Present:

None for the State. Applicant in person.

No objection to the release of the vehicle bearing registration no. DL-9SBE-4407 of the applicant is tendered on behalf of the IO/HC Rajender. The said vehicle is not required to be retained in the present case for any purpose.

Application perused. Submissions heard.

Instead of releasing the above mentioned articles on superdari, I am of the considered view that the articles have to be released as per the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638. The view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled as Manjeet Singh vs. State, (2014) 214 DLT 646 wherein it has been held that :-

"59. The valuable articles seized by the police may be released to the person, who, in the opinion of the Court, is lawfully entitled to claim such as the complainant at whose house theft, robbery or dacoity has taken place, after preparing detailed panchnama of such articles; taking photographs of such articles and a security bond.

60. The photographs of such articles should be attested or countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over. Wherever necessary, the Court may get the jewellery articles

Copy RecivEd

Bulber Sh.

valued from a government approved valuer.

61. The actual production of the valuable articles during the trial should not be insisted upon and the photographs along with the panchnama should suffice for the purposes of evidence."

Considering the facts and the circumstances and the law laid down by the higher courts, article in question i.e. vehicle bearing no. **DL-9SBE-4407** be released to the applicant on verification of the particulars regarding ownership and after preparing panchnama and on furnishing an indemnity bond as per the value of the vehicle. It is further directed that the article i.e. vehicle bearing no. **DL-9SBE-4407** shall be photographed from all the angles. The Panchnama and Indemnity Bond along with photographs be filed with final report.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti as prayed.

# IN THE COURT OF DUTY METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE - II WEST DISTRICT, TIS HAZARI COURT, DELHI Presided by: Dr. Ompal Shokeen

FIR No. 144/2021 PS : Patel Nagar U/S 279/336/427 IPC

19.05.2021

Fresh application for release of vehicle bearing no. DL-6CM-5005 on superdari moved on behalf of applicant.

Present:

None for the State.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

No objection to the release of the vehicle bearing registration no. **DL-6CM-5005** of the applicant is tendered on behalf of the IO/ASI Jagdish Ram. The said vehicle is not required to be retained in the present case for any purpose.

Application perused. Submissions heard.

Instead of releasing the above mentioned articles on superdari, I am of the considered view that the articles have to be released as per the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638. The view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled as Manjeet Singh vs. State, (2014) 214 DLT 646 wherein it has been held that:-

"59. The valuable articles seized by the police may be released to the person, who, in the opinion of the Court, is lawfully entitled to claim such as the complainant at whose house theft, robbery or dacoity has taken place, after preparing detailed panchnama of such articles; taking photographs of such articles and a security bond.

60. The photographs of such articles should be attested or countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over. Wherever necessary, the Court may get the jewellery articles

Color Kr

निवेदन है की

Respected sir

.rt of Ms She

J 144/2021 u/s 27

3/T Kishitij Gupta S/O

valued from a government approved valuer.

61. The actual production of the valuable articles during the trial should not be insisted upon and the photographs along with the panchnama should suffice for the purposes of evidence."

Considering the facts and the circumstances and the law laid down by the higher courts, article in question i.e. vehicle bearing no. **DL-6CM-5005** be released to the applicant on verification of the particulars regarding ownership and after preparing panchnama and on furnishing an indemnity bond as per the value of the vehicle. It is further directed that the article i.e. vehicle bearing no. **DL-6CM-5005** shall be photographed from all the angles. The Panchnama and Indemnity Bond along with photographs be filed with final report.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti as prayed.

eFIR No. 08539/2021 PS: Tilak Nagar

U/s:- 379/411/482 IPC

State Vs. Amit Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

None for the State.

IO/HC Ramesh Chand is present. Accused Amit produced through VC.

Accused was formal arrested on 18.05.2021.

IO has filed an application for 14 days JC of the accused.

In view of the reasons stated in the application there are sufficient grounds that the accused may commit further offences, he may induce, threat or promise to any person for acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Courts or to the police officers and to conduct proper investigation of the offence.

Therefore, the accused is remanded to judicial custody till 01.06.2021.

Accused be produced before the concerned Court/Duty M.M. on 01.06.2021.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to I.O.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN) Duty MM (THC/Delhi) 19.05.2021

Received's

FIR No. 187/2021 PS: Tilak Nagar

19.05.2021

Present:

None for the State.

Ld. Counsel for the accused.

Verification report not received.

IO / SHO is directed to file verification report

positively on or before 20.05.2021.

FIR No. 127/2021 PS: Patel Nagar

19.05.2021

Present: None for the State.

Ld. Counsel for the accused.

Verification report not received.

IO / SHO is directed to appear and file verification

report positively on or before 20.05.2021.

FIR No. 446/2013 PS : Kirti Nagar

19.05.2021

Present: None for the State.

Ld. Counsel for the accused.

Verification report not received.

IO / SHO is directed to appear and file verification

report positively on or before 21.05.2021.

FIR No. 119/2021 PS: Patel Nagar

19.05.2021

Present: None for the State.

Ld. Counsel for the accused.

Verification report not received.

IO / SHO is directed to appear and file verification

report positively on or before 20.05.2021.

FIR No. 332/2021 PS: Tilak Nagar

19.05.2021

Present: None for the State.

Ld. Counsel for the accused.

Verification report not received.

IO / SHO is directed to appear and file verification

report positively on or before 20.05.2021.

FIR No. 009620/2021 PS: Tilak Nagar

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

None.

Put up for consideration on 20.05.2021.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN) Duty MM (THC/Delhi) 19.05.2021

98

FIR No. 027285/2020 PS: Tilak Nagar

Date: 19.05.2021

Present:

None.

Put up for consideration on 20.05.2021.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN) Duty MM (THC/Delhi) 19.05.2021

FIR No. 166/2021 PS: Moti Nagar

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

None.

Put up for consideration on 20.05.2021.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN) Duty MM (THC/Delhi) 19.05.2021

FIR No. 56/2021 PS: Kirti Nagar

Date:- 19.05.2021

Present:

None.

IO/SHO did not file any verification report. Let report be called from the concerned IO/SHO for not complying with the orders dated 17.05.2021 and 18.05.2021.

IO/SHO is directed to file report on 20.05.2021.

(Dr. OMPAL SHOKEEN) Duty MM (THC/Delhi) 19.05.2021